Proceedings of the 4th International Conference on Applied Physics and Mathematical Modeling
DOI: 10.54254/2753-8818/2026.38750

Review of the Fundamental Theory and Multidisciplinary Applications of Monte Carlo Methods

Yuan Chang

College of Materials Science and Chemical Engineering, Harbin Engineering University, Harbin, China
13962707425@163.com

Abstract. Monte Carlo methods are numerical techniques based on probability theory and random sampling, and are particularly useful for problems that are difficult to solve analytically, involve many variables, or contain substantial uncertainty. This paper reviews the theoretical foundations, general implementation procedures, and major algorithmic developments of Monte Carlo methods, with a comparative focus on two representative fields: physics and materials science, and financial risk analysis. In physics and materials research, typical applications include the Ising model, microstructural evolution, and particle transport, while financial applications mainly involve derivative pricing and investment risk assessment. The literature shows that although these fields differ in model specification and output measures, they share a common computational logic: constructing a probabilistic model, generating random samples, and estimating target quantities statistically. Direct Monte Carlo simulation, importance sampling, Markov chain Monte Carlo (MCMC), and multilevel Monte Carlo are suited to different sampling difficulties and computational requirements. Existing studies also indicate that simulation accuracy is affected by sample size, sampling efficiency, and model assumptions. By tracing methodological developments and comparing their adaptation across fields, this review provides a useful reference for the selection, understanding, and application of Monte Carlo methods in related research.

Keywords: Monte Carlo methods, Random sampling, Numerical simulation, Physical simulation, Financial risk

1. Introduction

Many practical problems do not have analytical solutions that are easy to obtain. Physical systems may contain numerous microscopic states, material microstructures evolve through grain boundary migration, and financial prices and returns are affected by random factors. Monte Carlo methods provide a flexible numerical approach to such problems by combining random sampling with statistical estimation.

Theoretical and algorithmic developments have gradually expanded the scope of Monte Carlo methods. Metropolis et al. introduced a random sampling framework based on transitions between system states, Hastings later extended this approach, and Geman and Geman applied related ideas to

© 2026 The Authors. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License 4.0 (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

DOI: 10.54254/2753-8818/2026.38750

complex probability distributions [1-3]. Monte Carlo methods have also been widely used in applied research. Zeng et al. employed Monte Carlo simulation in complex-system reliability analysis and noted the importance of convergence speed and simulation accuracy [4]. In physics and materials science, Fang et al. reviewed Monte Carlo Potts models for grain growth, recrystallization, and sintering [5]. In finance, applications have developed from Boyle's option-pricing work to simulation-based estimation of security price sensitivities [6, 7].

Most existing studies, however, focus on a specific algorithm or a single application field. Cross-disciplinary comparisons of model construction, sampling strategies, and error sources remain relatively limited. This paper therefore compares physics and materials science with financial risk analysis, examining their common computational logic as well as differences in model specification, sampling methods, application scenarios, and practical limitations.

2. Fundamental theory and algorithmic development of Monte Carlo methods

2.1. Core principles and mathematical foundations

The basic idea of the Monte Carlo method is to transform a problem that is difficult to solve directly into a statistical estimation problem involving random variables or stochastic processes. Suppose a target quantity can be expressed as the expected value E(X) of a random variable X. If N independent samples $X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_N$ are drawn from the random variable, the sample mean can be written as:

$$\bar{X}_N = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} X_i \tag{1}$$

As the sample size increases, the sample mean converges to the population mean under appropriate assumptions, as implied by the law of large numbers [4].

A simple illustration is estimating π by sampling points uniformly in a square containing a unit circle. Because the circle-to-square area ratio is π/4, the fraction of points inside the circle estimates this ratio and becomes more stable as the sample size grows.

The essential requirement is not that the original problem be random, but that it can be represented probabilistically. The same principle applies to grain morphology, magnetic spin states, and future asset prices.

2.2. General implementation procedures and classical methods

Monte Carlo simulation generally involves four main steps: model specification, random sample generation, model evaluation, and statistical analysis of the results. Researchers first identify the relevant random variables and their probability distributions, then generate samples and calculate the corresponding outcomes. The final estimates are obtained using measures such as the mean, variance, probability, or quantiles. Different sampling methods are suited to different types of problems. Direct Monte Carlo simulation is appropriate when the underlying distribution is clearly specified and samples can be generated easily. Importance sampling is more useful for estimating rare events or tail regions, whereas MCMC is primarily used when direct sampling from a complex target distribution is difficult.

Direct Monte Carlo may waste many samples on low-probability events. Importance sampling shifts draws toward influential regions and corrects the estimate with weights; Zheng and Xu used it to improve barrier-option pricing accuracy [8].

DOI: 10.54254/2753-8818/2026.38750

MCMC obtains approximate samples from a target distribution by constructing a Markov chain. The Metropolis-Hastings algorithm is a classic example. Starting from the current state, a candidate state is proposed, and an acceptance probability is calculated from the target distribution and the proposal distribution. The candidate is then either accepted or rejected, with the current state retained in the latter case. Because each new state depends only on the current state, the process satisfies the Markov property. Under suitable conditions, the stationary distribution of the chain converges to the target distribution, which is why the method is classified as MCMC [1, 2].

2.3. Algorithmic development and technical improvements

Increasing sample size improves stability but raises computational cost, so later developments have focused on using samples more efficiently.

Variance-reduction methods such as importance sampling, control variates, and antithetic variates reduce estimator variability, while MCMC addresses difficult sampling problems. Importance sampling is especially useful for rare financial events, whereas MCMC suits physical models with complex state spaces.

Multilevel Monte Carlo reduces cost by combining many low-cost coarse simulations with fewer high-accuracy simulations. Giles showed that efficiency depends not only on sample size but also on how samples are allocated across accuracy levels [9].

These developments share two goals: reducing statistical error and lowering the computational cost required for a given accuracy.

3. Typical applications of Monte Carlo methods

3.1. Applications in physics and materials science

Physics and materials problems often contain too many microscopic states for direct enumeration. In the Ising model, spins are represented by discrete states and system energy is determined by their interactions; Monte Carlo simulation samples this state space through repeated local updates.

Zhan et al. used a two-dimensional Ising model to study heat capacity, average energy, and the phase-transition point, obtaining a critical temperature close to theory [10]. Xiao et al. examined temperature-dependent magnetization states [11], while Gao et al. included nearest- and next-nearest-neighbor interactions to study magnetization and magnetic entropy change in an alloy model [12].

For material microstructures, the Potts model extends the two-state Ising framework by allowing multiple states to represent grain orientations. Fang et al. mapped continuous microstructures onto a lattice and simulated grain evolution through energy changes and state updates; the model has been applied to grain growth, recrystallization, and sintering [5].

Earlier work includes grain-growth kinetics by Anderson et al. [13], grain-size distribution and topology by Srolovitz et al. [14], and a broader review of microstructural simulation by Holm and Battaile [15].

Guo and Huang developed a three-dimensional model for whisker-reinforced ceramic tool materials. Three-direction whisker distributions most strongly inhibited matrix grain growth, and the pinning effect increased with whisker volume fraction [16].

In particle transport, Yang and Zhao showed that homogenizing heterogeneous composites can cause noticeable high-energy photon-deposition errors [17]. Li et al. combined particle simulation

DOI: 10.54254/2753-8818/2026.38750

with Monte Carlo collisions to study after-arc current and particle behavior in vacuum CuCr contacts [18].

Across these applications, Monte Carlo methods are valuable because finite random samples can approximate systems with prohibitively large microscopic state spaces.

3.2. Applications in financial risk analysis

In finance, uncertainty is represented mainly by alternative price paths, returns, and risk scenarios.

Boyle was among the early researchers to apply Monte Carlo methods to European option pricing [6]. The basic procedure is to generate a large number of future asset price paths under a specified price model, calculate the payoff associated with each path, discount those payoffs back to the present, and then take the average. For products with relatively simple structures, analytical models are often faster. When path dependence becomes important or the dimensionality of the problem increases, however, Monte Carlo simulation becomes more flexible. Zheng and Xu also noted that low-dimensional option pricing problems can often be handled by binomial trees or finite-difference methods, whereas Monte Carlo simulation is more suitable for higher-dimensional cases.

Broadie and Glasserman studied simulation-based estimation of security price sensitivities [7], while Giles used multilevel Monte Carlo to reduce the cost of high-accuracy path simulation [9].

For barrier options, Zheng and Xu combined a jump-diffusion model with importance sampling, showing that variance reduction can improve estimation for path-dependent products [8].

More recent financial applications have also moved beyond derivative pricing to the assessment of investment project risk. Cao used financial net present value and financial internal rate of return as the main evaluation indicators for construction projects and simulated a range of possible investment outcomes by assigning probability distributions to different risk variables [19]. Tu applied a similar Monte Carlo framework to a real estate investment case, using net present value and internal rate of return together with sensitivity analysis to identify key risk factors [20].

Unlike one-factor sensitivity analysis, Monte Carlo simulation allows several uncertain inputs to vary simultaneously and produces distributions of outcomes rather than a single estimate.

3.3. Comparative analysis across application fields

Across both fields, the same basic logic applies: formulate a probabilistic model, generate samples through random draws or state transitions, and estimate target quantities statistically. Table 1 summarizes the main differences.

Table 1. Comparison of Monte Carlo applications across two fields

Comparison Dimension	Physics and Materials Science	Financial Risk Analysis
Core Model Settings	Microscopic states, interaction rules, and material parameters	Price processes, volatility, and distributions of risk variables
Main Approach	Estimating macroscopic physical quantities through stochastic state evolution	Estimating value and risk distributions through random paths or scenarios
Typical Applications	Phase transitions, grain growth, and particle transport	Option pricing and investment project risk assessment

DOI: 10.54254/2753-8818/2026.38750

Table 1. (continued)

Main Sources of Error	Parameter simplification, boundary conditions, and finite-sample error	Distributional assumptions, parameter estimation, and insufficient tail samples
Common Sampling Methods	Metropolis-type MCMC and direct random sampling	Direct Monte Carlo simulation, importance sampling, and multilevel Monte Carlo

The differences between the two application areas mainly arise from the nature of the problems being studied. In physics and materials science, the main concern is how microscopic states evolve under specified interaction rules. Accordingly, Metropolis-type updates and direct random sampling are widely used to investigate processes such as phase transitions, grain growth, and particle transport [12, 17]. Financial risk analysis, by contrast, focuses more on future price paths and the distribution of risk. Because tail events and computational efficiency are especially important in this setting, methods such as importance sampling and multilevel Monte Carlo have more direct practical value [8, 9].

The two fields also share some common limitations. An insufficient number of random samples can lead to statistical error, while bias caused by model specification does not disappear simply by increasing the number of simulations. In materials modeling, simplified assumptions about factors such as grain-boundary energy and phase composition can limit how well the model represents the real system [5]. In financial applications, unrealistic assumptions about price processes or the distributions of risk variables can likewise cause simulation results to deviate from actual conditions. The reliability of Monte Carlo methods therefore depends not only on sampling efficiency, but also on whether the underlying model is appropriately specified.

4. Advantages and limitations of Monte Carlo methods

4.1. Core methodological advantages

Monte Carlo methods are well suited to complex and high-dimensional problems because they avoid explicit enumeration of all possible states.

They are also flexible: once sampling rules and evaluation criteria are specified, the same framework can represent grain orientations, particle collisions, or asset-price paths.

A further advantage is that simulation provides outcome distributions rather than only point estimates, allowing direct analysis of variability, event probabilities, and tail risk.

4.2. Inherent limitations and practical challenges

Improving the accuracy of Monte Carlo methods generally requires a large number of samples. With independent sampling, the random error decreases only in proportion to the inverse square root of the sample size, so a noticeable gain in precision often entails a substantial increase in computational cost. Zeng et al. also identified convergence speed and simulation accuracy as issues that need further improvement in the reliability analysis of complex systems [4].

Another important limitation is the bias introduced by model assumptions. In materials simulation, actual grain-boundary energies, phase composition, and spatial structures may be far more complicated than those represented in a simplified model. Financial simulation likewise depends on assumptions about volatility, probability distributions, and price processes. If the model

DOI: 10.54254/2753-8818/2026.38750

is too idealized, even numerically stable simulation results may still fail to represent real conditions accurately.

Rare events can also make ordinary sampling inefficient. When the event of interest is highly improbable, most samples contribute little useful information. Techniques such as importance sampling can partly address this problem, but choosing an appropriate alternative sampling distribution then becomes a challenge in itself. For this reason, the main strength of Monte Carlo methods lies in making difficult problems computationally manageable, rather than guaranteeing that every problem can be solved both efficiently and accurately.

5. Conclusion

Monte Carlo methods provide a flexible numerical framework for problems that are difficult to solve analytically. Importance sampling, MCMC, and multilevel Monte Carlo extend basic random simulation by improving sampling or computational efficiency. In physics and materials science they support studies of spin transitions, grain growth, and particle transport; in finance they are used for derivative pricing and project-risk assessment. Despite different model structures, both fields rely on probabilistic modeling, random sampling, and statistical estimation. Future work could compare sampling methods under common parameter settings in terms of error, runtime, and stability.

References

[1] Metropolis, N., Rosenbluth, A. W., Rosenbluth, M. N., Teller, A. H., & Teller, E. (1953). Equation of state calculations by fast computing machines. *The Journal of Chemical Physics, 21*(6), 1087–1092. https://doi.org/10.1063/1.1699114

[2] Hastings, W. K. (1970). Monte Carlo sampling methods using Markov chains and their applications. *Biometrika, 57*(1), 97–109. https://doi.org/10.1093/biomet/57.1.97

[3] Geman, S., & Geman, D. (1984). Stochastic relaxation, Gibbs distributions, and the Bayesian restoration of images. *IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence, PAMI-6*(6), 721–741. https://doi.org/10.1109/TPAMI.1984.4767596

[4] Zeng, C., Fang, Q., Wu, J., Zhu, Y. M., & Guan, J. (2015). Research on Monte Carlo method in reliability simulation of complex systems. *Journal of Sichuan Ordnance, 36*(9), 65–68. https://doi.org/10.11809/scbgxb2015.09.017

[5] Fang, B., Huang, C. Z., Xu, C. H., Liu, Z. W., & Wang, J. H. (2007). Principle and application of Monte Carlo Potts model simulation in microstructural evolution. *Materials Review, 21*(2), 75–78.

[6] Boyle, P. P. (1977). Options: A Monte Carlo approach. *Journal of Financial Economics, 4*(3), 323–338. https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-405X(77)90005-8

[7] Broadie, M., & Glasserman, P. (1996). Estimating security price derivatives using simulation. *Management Science, 42*(2), 269–285. https://doi.org/10.1287/mnsc.42.2.269

[8] Zheng, Y., & Xu, F. (2023). Application of Monte Carlo simulation in barrier option pricing. *Science and Technology Entrepreneurship Monthly, 36*(4), 126–128. https://doi.org/10.3969/j.issn.1672-2272.202209012

[9] Giles, M. B. (2008). Multilevel Monte Carlo path simulation. *Operations Research, 56*(3), 607–617. https://doi.org/10.1287/opre.1070.0496

[10] Zhan, M. H., Zhang, W. W., Liang, B. Q., Yu, B. M., & Jiang, L. Y. (2021). Phase transition analysis of the two-dimensional Ising model using Monte Carlo simulation. *China New Technologies and Products*, (5), 8–11.

[11] Xiao, L. Y., & Ji, D. H. (2018). Monte Carlo simulation study on temperature impact of magnetic material under transverse Ising model. *Coal and Chemical Industry, 41*(10), 127–129, 138. https://doi.org/10.19286/j.cnki.cci.2018.10.038

[12] Gao, Q. H., Du, A., & Liu, J. C. (2015). The magnetization and magnetic entropy change of Fe0.5Mn0.1Al0.4 alloy by means of Monte Carlo simulation on the basis of Ising model. *Journal of Atomic and Molecular Physics, 32*(3), 504–511. https://doi.org/10.3969/j.issn.1000-0364.2015.03.027

DOI: 10.54254/2753-8818/2026.38750

[13] Anderson, M. P., Srolovitz, D. J., Grest, G. S., & Sahni, P. S. (1984). Computer simulation of grain growth—I. Kinetics. *Acta Metallurgica, 32*(5), 783–791.
[14] Srolovitz, D. J., Anderson, M. P., Sahni, P. S., & Grest, G. S. (1984). Computer simulation of grain growth—II. Grain size distribution, topology, and local dynamics. *Acta Metallurgica, 32*(5), 793–802.
[15] Holm, E. A., & Battaile, C. C. (2001). The computer simulation of microstructural evolution. *JOM, 53*(9), 20–23.
[16] Guo, M., & Huang, C. Z. (2017). Three-dimensional Monte Carlo simulation of whisker reinforced ceramic tool materials. *Tool Engineering, 51*(11), 7–11. https://doi.org/10.16567/j.cnki.1000-7008.2017.11.002
[17] Yang, P., & Zhao, P. (2026). Modeling photon transport in composite materials using Monte Carlo algorithm. *Journal of Shanghai Jiao Tong University (Science), 31*(4), 960–969. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12204-024-2762-8
[18] Li, X. B., Jiang, Y., Wang, W., Liu, X. M., & Zou, J. Y. (2026). Post-arc current simulation in vacuum circuit breakers with CuCr contacts based on the particle-in-cell Monte Carlo collision method. *Transactions of China Electrotechnical Society, 41*(7), 2449–2456. https://doi.org/10.19595/j.cnki.1000-6753.tces.250723
[19] Cao, Q. Y. (2022). Economic risk assessment of construction investment projects based on Monte Carlo simulation. *China Management Informationization, 25*(2), 138–140. https://doi.org/10.3969/j.issn.1673-0194.2022.02.044
[20] Tu, Y. T. (2022). Investment risk analysis of real estate projects based on Monte Carlo simulation: A case study of a project of H Real Estate Company. *Real Estate World*, (23), 15–18.