

Impacts of Ocean Warming and Acidification on Coral Reefs and Optimization of Conservation Policies

Zhenglin Yang

*Beijing 101 High School, Beijing, China
yangliang19690804@gmail.com*

Abstract. Coral reefs, Earth's most integral marine ecosystems, are being damaged quickly under ocean warming and acidification, reducing global marine biodiversity and coastal livelihoods. The available literature has documented ecological impacts but has not related the loss of biodiversity to policy formulation. This paper will give the systematic review of the present condition of both the oceans warming and acidification and the ways in which they impact the coral reef ecosystems. Its focus is on discussing the ecological outcomes, which are the coral bleaching, the loss of biodiversity, food web simplification, and reduced stability of the ecosystem. The effectiveness and shortcomings of existing conservation measures, including Marine Protected Areas (MPAs) are also evaluated by the paper. The research discovered that ocean warming and acidification are extremely harmful accelerants of coral reef degradation due to the synergistic effect, whereas the coral reefs are prone to environmental disturbances because of the highly specialized nature of the food web structure. Well thought out and well-administered MPAs have the ability to reduce the level of ecological degradation to a certain degree; however, ineffective administration, bad design, and lack of involvement of people in these regions is still rampant in areas like Southeast Asia. The future work ought to aim at enhancing regional long-term monitoring mechanisms, economic feasibility of ecological recovery technologies, and encouraging the joint application of global climate governance and local conservation measures to alleviate the irreparable damage of coral reef ecosystems.

Keywords: Ocean acidification, coral bleaching, biodiversity, policies

1. Introduction

Sea surface warming has become the most serious threat to coral reef ecosystems under global climate change and further alter the marine biodiversity generally. As the ocean absorbs greenhouse gases like CO₂, marine heatwaves have become more intense due to sea surface warming, triggering widespread coral bleaching and biodiversity collapse. Coral reefs play an integral role in sustaining marine organisms and providing habitat, food and protection for some species. Specifically, Covering a mere 0.2% of the ocean floor, coral reefs account for as much as 35% of all discovered marine life [1]. However, Increasing ocean warming and followed coral bleaching have already negatively impacted on even the healthiest reefs, transitioning into degraded state [2]. For example, Gilmour's study uncovers that the Scott reefs in Australia experienced a reduction for 80% of its reef

during the first global coral bleaching event in 1998; and following heat waves in 2010, 2013 and 2016 destroy the Scott reef repeatedly [2].

Previous research has deeply investigated warming-driven reef decline, yet critical gaps remain [2]. Confirmed that increasing bleaching frequency exceeds coral recovery, leading to simplified communities dominated by heat-sensitive weedy species. Hagen, on the other hand, discovers phenomenon that ocean acidity dropped from 8.2 to 8.1, indicating a 26% rise in ocean acidity; what's more, Hagen concludes the future trend: 99% of all coral reefs are expected to die by if global temperatures warm to 2°C and cautioned the passing of 1.5°C would result in 70%-90% coral reef loss [1]. Therefore, current studies focus heavily on ecological impacts but lack systematic combination between biodiversity reduction and sustainable policy design.

This research is meaningful and theoretically significant. Because the current coral reefs are facing a large range of loss, and this trend will become more severe due to the constantly increasing ocean warming. Also, the existing policies, though seemingly effective, involve lots of potential issues and risks that they donot cover. Therefore, this research is in immediate need to reverse the serious damages and malfunctioning policies.

This paper systematically assesses the current severity and trends of ocean acidification and coral bleaching; this paper also discusses about direct, indirect and long term impact of sea surface warming on coral reef diversity and derivative aspects, including ecosystem stability, food webs and human economic activities. On this basis, this paper analyzes the existing cases and environmental policy, pinpointing their outcomes and drawbacks for further policy making. Finally, the paper proposes some feasible solution from technical, political and ecological perspectives, and it also points out some limitation involved in those methods. This research is designed to provide suggestion for alleviating coral reef degradation and bleaching, promoting long-run biodiversity and saving marine ecosystem from foundational trophic level.

2. Global acidification current status and future trend

2.1. Current status of global ocean acidification

Increasing global ocean warming has exacerbated ocean acidification by strengthening ocean stratification, which reduces mixing of vertical water, surface and deeper ones, and absorb anthropogenic CO₂ in the upper ocean, intensifying the acidifying effects of assimilation of CO₂ in the air. Ocean acidification has become an integral component of the UN-proclaimed triple planetary crisis, with no marine region immune to this pervasive biogeochemical shift [3]. The latest Copernicus Ocean State Report reveals obvious latitudinal disparities: 13% of tropical oceans, 55% of the northern subtropics, and 60% of the southern subpolar oceans experience surface pH decline faster than the global average. This uneven distribution means tropical and southern subpolar regions, where lots of coral reefs and marines organisms live in, burden acidification pressure, which compounds with rapid warming to accelerate ecosystem collapse and biodiversity loss.

Apparently, 31% of areas around 42°S and 42% around 36°N encounter simultaneous rapid acidification and warming, creating complex stressors that are greater than each stressors imposing alone. Areas Beyond National Jurisdiction, covering 60% of the global ocean, are drastically vulnerable, with 32% experiencing rapid acidification and a constant pH decline of -0.0173 ± 0.001 per decade since the early 1980s. Coastal large marine ecosystems sustaining most global fisheries also suffer, with 7% of tropical and 6% of subpolar Large Marine Ecosystems (LMEs) indicating above-average rates. This poses direct threats to global food security and the livelihoods of billions people who heavily rely on coastal fisheries for their primary nutrient source. These changes

severely threaten biodiversity as well: 10% of global hotspots and 30% of critically endangered corals are exposed to rapid pH loss; "nearly 75 % of countries with high plastic waste emissions (> 10 000 t) are adjacent to critically endangered and endangered corals, and 83 % are adjacent to vulnerable corals" [3].

2.2. Future trend of ocean acidification

The rapid warming of oceans recorded provides important clues about the future tendency of global ocean acidification since thermal stratification and decreased vertical mixing are among the main factors contributing to anthropogenic CO₂-induced acidification. The research indicates that the rate of ocean warming in the upper 2000 m has also been raised by a factor of almost 10 times, with $0.06 \pm 0.08 \text{ W m}^{-2}$ being recorded in 1958 1973 and $0.58 \pm 0.08 \text{ W m}^{-2}$ in 2003 2018 and this acceleration has been observed in all four major ocean basins and is mainly due to anthropogenic causes as shown by CMIP5 model-observation consistency [4]. This quick warming underscores ocean stratification, which impedes the downward movement of CO₂ taken up at the surface and isolates acidified water in the surface layer of the ocean where it is biologically critical to marine life.

Although surface acidification would increase simultaneously alongside the increasing concentration of CO₂ in the atmosphere, the 1-2 decades delay of the warming penetration below 300 m reported in the study shows that deep-sea acidification will continue over centuries even after positive emission reduction and this will create irreversible effects in the long term [4]. Moreover, the fact that the ocean acts as the largest sink and storage of excess planetary energy (and absorbs approximately 91 percent of the energy imbalance on Earth in 2005 2019) means that it will continue to absorb large volumes of anthropogenic carbon within the next few decades, increasing the synergistic pressure on marine ecosystems and biogeochemical cycles due to warming and acidification. All these findings together indicate that ocean acidification will continue to escalate exponentially and lead to catastrophic changes in marine food webs, livelihoods at the coast, and the regulating ability of the ocean on the climate system. Therefore, drastic carbon emissions reductions are needed today.

3. Impact of ocean acidification on coral reefs biodiversity

3.1. Direct impact

Ocean acidification, occurring synergistically with ocean warming, creates a fatal threat to coral reef biodiversity by exacerbating coral bleaching and death, as evidenced by the unprecedented 2015–2016 mass bleaching event on the Great Barrier Reef [5]. Hughes et al. carried out surveys of 1,156 reefs spreading through 2,300 km length of the Great Barrier Reef, proven by 260 underwater sites' data, revealing that only <9% of reefs didn't suffer from bleaching in 2016, compared to 44% in 1998 and 42% in 2002. Obviously, the proportion of reefs experiencing severe bleaching (affecting >60% of corals) was four times greater in 2016 than in the two earlier events [5]. This quick escalation confirms that prior bleaching exposure did not provide protective benefit, and the combined chronic stress of acidification and recurrent warming is irreversibly destroying coral reef resilience at a rate far exceeding natural adapting capacity. While thermal stress was the primary driver of this bleaching, ocean acidification strengthened these impacts by reducing coral calcification rates, weakening skeletal structure, and lowering corals' thermal resistance, making them more vulnerable to heat-induced bleaching. Post-bleaching mortality measured 7–8 months

after the event was closely correlated with extent of bleaching, with severely bleached reefs experiencing major shifts in species composition due to extensive death of heat-sensitive coral species. Importantly, previous bleaching in 1998 and 2002 did not reduce the intensity of the 2016 bleaching event, which points to the fact that repeated stressors, together with continuing acidification, are destroying the resilience of coral reef ecosystems. In the absence of any reduction in greenhouse gas emissions, the joint impacts of warming and acidification would cause extensive coral mortality, loss of biodiversity that cannot be reversed, and failure of the ecological processes that depend on reefs.

3.2. Indirect impact

The effect of ocean acidification is also catastrophic in its indirect effects on coral reef biodiversity because it upsets the food chains of the world that form the basis of reef ecosystem functionality [6]. By studying six different coral reef food webs and 688 fish species in 84 families the study finds out that there exists 12% of trophic interactions that are protected across all areas and close to half are shared between at least three areas forming a common structure of energy flow. Notably, these food webs are governed by dietary specialists: 67.2 percent of reef fish were classified as specialists that only engage with a few resources whereas only 32.2 percent served as generalists connectors that connect various parts [6]. This extreme specialization process, which leads to unprecedented levels of reef diversity, and consequently, renders the system highly vulnerable to acidification. Acidification inhibits calcification and the survival of foundation prey like pteropods and invertebrates that go along with coral-reef, and specialists are slow to shift to new resources. Simulations prove that coral reef energy flows are much more vulnerable to the loss of consumers rather than the loss of resources: when a single specialist disappears, a specific trophic pathway is wiped out and none is left to replace it. The cumulative losses of modules disturb the energy flow at global scale, cause cascading extinction, and degrade ecosystem functions that sustain over 500 million people who rely on reef fisheries [6]. It highlights the fact that ocean acidification not only adversely affects the coral reefs as such but also disconnects the basic trophic web that supports the entire coral reef ecosystem.

3.3. Long-term impact

The problem of ocean acidification also represents a long-lasting threat to the coral reef biodiversity, which has far-reaching effects that reduce the ecological sustainability of these ecosystems and the economies across the world that rely on them [7]. From an ecological perspective, The foundational framework from Brandl et al. defines healthy coral reef functioning through eight interdependent reciprocal processes which rely on intact, diverse biological communities: Among them, spanning calcium carbonate dynamics, primary and secondary production, and nutrient cycling are the most important processes. Acidification strikes at the core of this system by frustrating coral calcification, gradually eroding the three-dimensional structural complexity that provides protection, breeding places, and foraging habitat for thousands of species living in coral reefs. With lack of functional redundancy in reef systems, the loss of specialized foundational taxa leads to cascading breakdowns in energy and nutrient flows, pushing reefs toward irreversible shifts from coral-dominated to algae-dominated states that support merely a little proportion of its original biodiversity. Economically, this degradation carries insurmountable costs. Kasanawaqa calculates that healthy coral ecosystems create \$172 billion annually in essential services, including fisheries that feed billions of people living near the sea and tourism that supports millions of jobs [8]. For Pacific Small Island

Developing States, reef-based fishing and tourism alone contribute \$3.3 billion to regional economies each year, while globally, coral reefs protect at least \$5.995 billion in built infrastructure from storm damage by reducing the severity of storms that reach the shoreline. The loss of these integral ecosystems would leave vulnerable coastal communities without food security, livelihoods, or natural protection against climate-driven disasters, causing more potential economic loss and even collapse of local economy of those island countries. Ultimately, ocean acidification destroys both the ecological functions of coral reefs and the livelihood security of hundreds of millions who rely on these indispensable ecosystems.

4. Current environmental policies assessment

To address this crisis, the international community has taken a variety of core strategies including marine protected areas (MPAs), fisheries regulations banning destructive practices, coral restoration projects, and international frameworks like the Convention on Biological Diversity. However, their effectiveness varies drastically globally. The following sections will first evaluate the benefits of well-managed MPAs, then examine the limitations plaguing many existing frameworks, particularly in Southeast Asia's vulnerable Coral Triangle.

4.1. Effectiveness of current environmental policies

Among lots of those environmental policies and strategies that aim to protect coral reef from being destroyed, MPAs have appeared as the foundational policy tool for global coral reef conservation, but their effectiveness is highly contingent on specific planning and execution. Based on survey data from 30 tropical MPAs across 28 ecosystem worldwide, this study ascertains that only reserves that utilize well-enforced regulations, no-take fishing restrictions, and a minimum age of 10 years deliver statistically significant coral reef protection benefits [9]. These high-quality MPAs exhibit 8% to 19% higher total coral coverage than nearby fished sites, with the most obvious gains observed in massive corals—species more resistant to thermal-driven bleaching—whose cover increases by 34% to 106%. At the same time, total algal coverage, which competes with corals for space and light, decreases by 22% to 26%, and foliose algal cover declines by 33–38% [9]. These interdependent ecological transformations not only avoid irreversible phase shifts from coral-dominated to algae-dominated reef states, but also enhance overall ecosystem resilience to climate change by preserving heat-tolerant coral populations; they help the regional ecosystem to sustain diverse reef-associated fish communities and maintain critical ecosystem services including coastal storm protection and sustainable fisheries for millions of people.

The positive effects operate through two different means: directly powerful policies ban destructive fishing practices such as trawling and blast fishing, eliminating tangible damage to coral reef structures; indirect protection enables substantial recovery of herbivorous fish biomass and population involving parrotfishes and rabbitfishes, which keep excessive algal growth in check and facilitate coral expansion and growth. Structural equation modeling demonstrates that both the direct protection effect (standardized coefficient = 0.06) and indirect ecological effect (standardized coefficient = 0.04) are statistically significant, jointly supporting coral reef ecosystem health. These findings indicate that scientifically designed and rigorously managed MPAs not only restore marine resources by increasing the fishes populations and biodiversity but also moderately enhance coral coverage, providing a crucial local buffer against climate-driven coral reef degradation.

4.2. Limitation of current environmental policies

While MPAs are widely used all over the world and being seen as a prominent methods to harness the coral bleaching problem, some existing protection frameworks suffer from sever structural and implementation flaws that push them to become largely ineffective against the accelerating biodiversity crisis produced by ocean acidification and climate change. These malfunctioning policies are frequently appeared in Southeast Asia, making it a proxy of the limitation of the MPAs. According to the 2025 United Nations University assessment, approximately 69% of regional MPA coverage is functionally invalid due to the fact that inadequate management practices and scattered site designation don't involve science-based spatial planning [10]. Most protected zones are arbitrarily and randomly placed rather than targeting to biodiversity hotspots and critical species habitats, and the entire Coral Triangle MPA network only covers merely 17.8% of critical coral reef habitats, forming isolated patches that are not able to support species migration, genetic exchange and inbreeding. Moreover, it also failed to maintain the ecosystem resilience to acidification-induced coral degradation.

Furthermore, nearly all current policies are working in national isolation, having a paucity of unified coordination mechanisms, standardized data collection protocols, and joint response frameworks to address the trans-boundary nature of ocean acidification. The region also suffers from a serious shortage of dedicated financial back-up and robust local scientific data on ocean acidification's specific influences, leaving policymakers without the evidence base needed to exert targeted interventions. Considering these issues, less meaningful local community and indigenous participation—coupled with management strategies that often disregard traditional ecological knowledge—undermine local ownership, weaken on-the-ground enforcement, and diminish the collective responsibility needed for long-term stewardship, which highly contrast to successful community-led MPA models in Hawaii. These interconnected limitations indicate that current policies cannot effectively alleviate coral reef damage or build ecosystem resilience or resistance, putting the food security and \$11 billion annual economic value created by Southeast Asia's coral reefs on the brink of severe risk.

5. Future solutions

5.1. Potential methods

The solution to the deep-seated fundamental and operational weaknesses of existing MPA implementation and enabling coral reef rehabilitation as climate change and ocean acidification grow at an increasing pace requires an integrated, multi-dimension, and scientific solution including appropriate protected area network design, active restoration, and strategic planning based on connectivity, which is badly required.

To begin with, the existing fragmentary MPA networks should be restructured and rebuilt through proper spatial planning that is based on biodiversity hotspots and essential larval source reefs [10]. The change will turn the isolated ineffective protected areas into interconnected ecological corridors which will facilitate species migration, inbreeding and genetic exchange, which directly addresses the current problems of Southeast Asian MPAs to preserve ecosystem resilience. In these optimized MPAs, restoration workers may use some essential ecological processes to enhance outplant survival rates [11, 12]. In particular, encourage healthy populations of herbivorous fishes (parrotfishes, surgeonfishes) and sea urchins (*Diadema antillarum*) to prevent macroalgal overgrowth, and lessen the competition between coral and algae, an important limiting factor in coral recovery and growth

[12]. Plant corals in mixed-species colonies strategically instead of monocultures to reduce the pressure of predation on specialized corallivores such as *Coralliophila abbreviata* which tend to feed on single-species stands. Utilize fish-derived nutrients at fish hotspots by placing coral outplants adjacent to aggregations of invertivorous fishes such as *Haemulon plumieri*, to focus the nutrients that promote coral growth and control coral predators at the same time in regard to trophic levels [12]. Lastly, integrate density-dependent variables into restoration planning such as diseases and intra-species competition and outplant corals at medium densities to achieve maximal habitat generation and minimal transmission of disease [12]. Through systematic handling of the structural flaws of current systems, and ecological choke points that inhibit natural reef recovery, this holistic, science-based approach has a synergetic effect which enhances conservation performance, forming a solid basis towards future conservation of coral reef ecosystems, as well as human populations who depend on them to survive.

5.2. Limitations

The proposed solutions may have a sound theoretical foundation and have proven successful on smaller scales in the past, but they have serious practical and realistic constraints that cannot be overcome in large scale implementations. To begin with, process-based restoration has been very poorly researched both in the science literature and other pertinent areas of study: the results of a systematic review of 116 peer-reviewed coral restoration articles, published over a period of 30 years, indicate that only 19 percent incorporated the actual elements of ecological processes, and a mere 2.5 percent focused exclusively on herbivory or the most important process to recover reef ecosystems [12]. This results in critical gaps in knowledge regarding the effects of different contexts, including how high densities of sea urchins may remove juveniles of corals and destroy settlement substrate, which is a positive grazer, becoming a negative pushing force [12]. Secondly, MPA planning based on connectivity needs detailed information about hydrodynamics and larval distribution that are not available to most coral reefs, so it is not possible to precisely determine the source-sink flow of larvae [11]. Moreover, active coral restoration is the least cost-effective, labour-intensive, and least extensive marine restoration strategy at present. It has limited cost-efficiency, which makes it impracticable to apply it when dealing with large-scale climate-driven degradation [11]. All these limitations together point to the fact that no solitary intervention will rescue the fast disappearing coral reefs alone; an adaptive approach will be needed whereby the initial efforts to overcome the foundational structural flaws of MPAs, fill the critical gaps in regional studies and data, and introduce active restoration as a specific supplement to every location rather than a blanket fix is taken first.

6. Conclusion

This paper looks at the problems that ocean warming and acidification cause for coral reef ecosystems. It checks how these problems affect the variety of life and the people who live near the coast. The paper also looks at the shortcomings of the ways that try to protect these areas. It shows that special protected areas for the ocean called MPAs can really help the environment if they are properly taken care of. However most of these areas in Southeast Asia do not work well because they are not designed correctly not managed and the people who live nearby are not involved. Ocean warming and acidification are still issues, for coral reef ecosystems. The study further emphasizes that the highly specialized structure of coral reef food webs renders these ecosystems more vulnerable to acidification and disruptions than previously expected. This work addresses the

important separation between biodiversity loss and policy design based on evidence identified in the introduction, providing a holistic framework that communicate ecological science and practical conservation management. Nevertheless, this study is constrained by the scarcity of standardized and unified long-term regional monitoring data and limited analysis of specific community governance strategies. Future research should prioritize creating regional monitoring systems, develop cost-effective restoration approaches, and clearly integrate global climate mitigation with local conservation actions to prevent irreversible coral reef collapse.

References

- [1] Hagen, R. (2018). Underwater and underrated: Coral reefs and climate change. *American Security Project*. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/resrep19816>
- [2] Gilmour, J. P. (2022). Decades of coral reef degradation and the climate catastrophe. *Bulletin of the Ecological Society of America*, 103(2), 1–5. <https://www.jstor.org/stable/48657222>
- [3] von Schuckmann, K., Gues, F., Moreira, L., et al. (2025). Global ocean change in the era of the triple planetary crisis. *State of the Planet*, 6(2), Article osr9-2. <https://doi.org/10.5194/sp-6-osr9-2-2025>
- [4] Cheng, L., Foster, G., Hausfather, Z., et al. (2022). Improved quantification of the rate of ocean warming. *Journal of Climate*, 35(14), 4827–4840. <https://www.jstor.org/stable/27245955>
- [5] Hughes, T. P., Kerry, J. T., & Simpson, T. (2018). Large-scale bleaching of corals on the Great Barrier Reef. *Ecology*, 99(2), 501–501. <https://www.jstor.org/stable/26624265>
- [6] Pozas-Schacre, C., Casey, J. M., Brandl, S. J., et al. (2021). Congruent trophic pathways underpin global coral reef food webs. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 118(39), 1–9. <https://www.jstor.org/stable/27075846>
- [7] Brandl, S. J., Rasher, D. B., Côté, I. M., et al. (2019). Coral reef ecosystem functioning: Eight core processes and the role of biodiversity. *Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment*, 17(8), 445–454. <https://www.jstor.org/stable/26797604>
- [8] Kasanawaqa, V. (2024). The ocean economy. In *Keep the ocean blue: The opportunity cost of deep-sea mining and compensation for ecosystem services provided by Pacific Small Island Developing States* (p. 12). ODI. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/resrep60031.14>
- [9] Strain, E. M. A., Edgar, G. J., Ceccarelli, D., et al. (2019). A global assessment of the direct and indirect benefits of marine protected areas for coral reef conservation. *Diversity and Distributions*, 25(1), 9–20. <https://www.jstor.org/stable/26585206>
- [10] Aji, M. H. G. T., Zhang, J., Wada, N., et al. (2025). Safeguarding South-East Asia's marine ecosystems from ocean acidification threats. *Policy Brief, United Nations University Institute for the Advanced Study of Sustainability*. <https://doi.org/10.53326/YWMH3810>
- [11] Doropoulos, C., & Babcock, R. C. (2018). Harnessing connectivity to facilitate coral restoration. *Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment*, 16(10), 558–559. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/44990942>
- [12] Ladd, M. C., Miller, M. W., Hunt, J. H., et al. (2018). Harnessing ecological processes to facilitate coral restoration. *Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment*, 16(4), 239–247. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/44991383>