Proceedings of CONF-MPCS 2026 Symposium: Theoretic Physics and Plasma Physics
DOI: 10.54254/2753-8818/2026.DL33124

From Orbital Physics to Formation Mechanisms: A Review of Pluto and Its Relevance for Space Missions

Xiwen Xu

Pudong Huayao High School, Shanghai, China
mnixxw@163.com

Abstract. This paper provides a comprehensive review of the research on Pluto, covering its physical properties, orbital dynamics, and its role in the evolution of the Kuiper Belt. In particular, it examines the key characteristics of Pluto's orbit, including its high eccentricity and pronounced inclination, and discusses prevailing formation theories, particularly its location within the Kuiper Belt and its complex interactions with Neptune, governed by mean-motion resonance mechanisms. In addition, this study further explores the practical implications of Pluto's unique orbital resonance, illustrating how this mechanism can be harnessed for gravity-assist maneuvers to substantially reduce fuel consumption in deep space missions. The results reveal that Pluto's orbital characteristics not only significantly influence the dynamics of the Kuiper Belt but also provide valuable guidance for optimizing trajectories, offering important references for the more efficient planning of future missions to Pluto and other outer Solar System bodies.

Keywords: Pluto-Charon Formation, Orbital Resonance, Kuiper Belt Dynamics, Orbital Characteristics, Deep Space Exploration

1. Introduction

Ever since Pluto was first discovered, the astronomical community has paid considerable attention to its unique orbital characteristics. Compared to the eight planets in the solar system, Pluto's orbit has a significantly high elliptical rate and a high inclination relative to the ecliptic plane. To explain this anomaly, the academic community has proposed various theories and models for the formation of Pluto. Meanwhile, studies have shown that the dynamical lifetime of Pluto's orbit is extremely limited, and it is prone to significant gravitational perturbations when it approaches Neptune closely [1]. Further research has revealed that Pluto's orbit is constrained by the average motion resonance with Neptune, and this resonance mechanism can maintain the stability of the orbit and prevent severe gravitational perturbations. It has now been confirmed as an orbital resonance phenomenon. This paper adopts a literature review approach to systematically review the relevant research on the formation and dynamical evolution of Pluto, with a focus on analyzing the role of orbital resonance in maintaining long-term stability. The studies of these dynamical mechanisms help to reveal the evolution of celestial bodies beyond Neptune and the overall structure of the solar system, and also provide theoretical basis for deep space mission planning, orbit design, and scientific assessment of targets in the Kuiper Belt. In the existing studies, the precise simulation of Pluto's structure requires long-term close-range observations, and the formation mechanism of the Pluto-Charon system is still not fully clear. Future work can rely on continuous observations, advanced numerical simulations, and dedicated space exploration missions to further enhance our understanding of the origin and evolution of the outer solar system.

2. Basic characteristics and formation mechanisms of Pluto

2.1. The physical characteristics of Pluto and its orbits

Pluto, as a dwarf planet in the Kuiper Belt, has a mass of 0.01303×10^{24}kg, a volume of 0.702×10^{10} km^3, and both its equatorial and polar radii measure ~1188.3 km, making it nearly spherical. Its main composition consists of silicate rock and ice, with a surface gravity of 0.62m/s^2 [2]. The atmosphere is dominated by nitrogen, with trace amounts of methane and carbon monoxide. Besides, Pluto has an orbital semi-major axis of 5.91 billion km, an orbital period of 90,560 days, and an orbital eccentricity of 0.2444. Furthermore, its perihelion and aphelion distances are 4434.987×10^6 km and 7304.326×10^6 km, respectively, showing a highly eccentric orbit with a high inclination of 17.16°, influenced by Neptune's gravitational perturbations.

In addition to its physical characteristics, Pluto's moon system further deepens its uniqueness. Of the five known moons, except for Charon, the surfaces of the other four satellites all have geometric albedos in excess of 50%, with that of Hydra possibly near 85% [3]. Charon is the largest and most significant. Its mass is sufficient to place the center of mass between Pluto and Charon, creating a binary dwarf planet system [4]. This feature results in significant gravitational interactions between the two, which notably affect Pluto's orbit and rotation. Moreover, the presence of Charon not only alters Pluto's kinematics but also makes its orbital evolution distinct from other solar system bodies. The binary system of Pluto and Charon provides a unique perspective on the dynamics and orbital evolution of Kuiper Belt objects.

2.2. The formation mechanisms of Pluto and Charon

In order to explain the formation of Pluto and its dynamic characteristics, many theories and models have been proposed. Based on data from the New Horizons probe on Pluto and the Kuiper Belt, the current mainstream theory holds that Pluto formed through the accretion of pebbles, which are high-density filaments and streams of millimeter- to decimeter-sized particles, from the ancestral Kuiper Belt located between 15 and 30 astronomical units from the Sun [5]. During this process, its current orbital configuration is mainly influenced by Neptune's resonance capture: interactions between giant planets and surrounding planetesimals in the early Solar System can lead to changes in angular momentum, altering planetary semi-major axes, expressed as:

$$-\frac{\delta a}{a} \simeq \frac{m_c}{M} \tag{1}$$

where δa is the variation in the orbital radius of the planet, a is the original orbital radius, m_c is the mass of the scattered planetesimals, and M is the mass of the planet. Inward scattering reduces the orbital radius, while outward scattering increases it. For a body in a $1+j:j$ resonance with Neptune, the eccentricity evolves according to:

$$e_{final}^2 \simeq e_{initial}^2 + \frac{1}{(j+1)} \ln\left(\frac{a_{N,final}}{a_{N,initial}}\right) \tag{2}$$

where e_{final} and e_{initial} represent the final and initial eccentricities, j is the resonance parameter, and $a_{\mathrm{N,final}}$ and $a_{\mathrm{N,initial}}$ are the final and initial semi-major axes of Neptune.

Following Pluto's formation, Charon most likely originated from a giant impact, considering the Pluto-Charon mass ratio of 0.122, the comparable sizes of the impactors, and their low relative velocities close to the mutual escape speed. Besides, smoothed-particle hydrodynamic simulations demonstrate that the formation of the Pluto-Charon system via such an impact is highly plausible [6]. Studies further constrained the impact conditions, indicating that the collision velocity should not exceed 1.2 times the mutual escape velocity, and the velocity at infinity should remain below ~0.7 km/s [7].

3. The causes of Pluto's orbital deviation and its impact on system evolution

3.1. The physical characteristics of Pluto's orbital deviation

In the Solar system, Pluto's orbit differs significantly from those of the eight classical planets. This is clearly shown by its orbital inclination of 17.16° and orbital eccentricity of 0.2444. By contrast, the orbital planes of most major planets lie close to the ecliptic, with only Mercury reaching an inclination of about 7°, the highest among the eight planets. Similarly, Mercury also has the most elongated orbit among classical planets, with an eccentricity of approximately 0.2056. All other planets have much lower orbital eccentricities, typically below 0.1, meaning their orbits are nearly circular. Pluto's highly tilted and elongated path thus stands out as extremely unusual for a planet in the Solar system. However, such orbital properties are very common among icy bodies located in the Kuiper Belt, a region of small celestial objects beyond Neptune.

3.2. The external perturbations and gravitational interactions

Pluto's orbital shape is strongly influenced by gravitational perturbations from Neptune. Given that the orbits of Pluto and Neptune intersect, a mechanism must exist to prevent their collision. This mechanism is known as orbital resonance. Orbital resonance occurs when two celestial bodies have orbital periods T1 and T2 that satisfy $T1/T2 \approx p/q$, where p and q are small integers. And this causes them to periodically approach each other at fixed positions in their orbits, thereby leading to regular gravitational interactions that help stabilize their orbits and prevent drastic changes.

Moreover, Pluto and Neptune have a 2:3 resonance relationship, meaning Pluto's orbital period is 2/3 of Neptune's. This resonance relationship ensures Pluto's orbital stability, thus preventing a collision with Neptune. The 2:3 resonance also explains Pluto's high eccentricity and inclination, as the resonance captures Pluto's orbit and causes it to evolve in close interaction with Neptune's gravitational influence. According to the Resonance Capture Theory, in the later stages of solar system formation, Neptune's orbital radius increased by around 5 Astronomical Units (AU) due to angular momentum exchanges with other bodies, capturing Pluto into the 2:3 resonance [8]. Thus, this orbital resonance not only affects Pluto's orbit but has far-reaching effects on the evolution of objects in the Kuiper Belt. Plutinos, which are objects in a 2:3 resonance with Neptune, are widely distributed in the Kuiper Belt, further demonstrating the crucial role of this resonance mechanism in the long-term stability of Kuiper Belt objects.

3.3. The long-term influence of Pluto on the evolution of the Kuiper Belt

The long-term gravitational effects of Pluto on Kuiper Belt objects highlight its key role in shaping the dynamical evolution of the outer Solar System. It mainly affects the Twotinos, which are objects in a 1:2 mean-motion resonance with Neptune, through a 4:3 resonance, continuously shaping their orbits and increasing the likelihood of orbital escape. And existing studies demonstrate that Pluto's perturbations dominate the leakage of these resonant objects. [9].

For the 3:2 resonant population, among the ten most massive Plutinos, Pluto alone raises the fraction of dynamically unstable objects from 22~32% to 64~67% over a 4-billion-year simulation, resulting in the escape of approximately 24% of these bodies. The combined gravitational effects of the remaining nine Plutinos account for only 2% of escaped objects. Similarly, for the 2:1 resonant population, Pluto increases orbital instability from 51~52% to 66~75%, causing roughly 13% of objects to escape, whereas the ten most massive Twotinos together contribute only 4% [9]. These quantitative results unequivocally demonstrate that Pluto occupies an irreplaceable and pivotal role in the long-term dynamical evolution and structural shaping of the Kuiper Belt, highlighting its significance beyond its individual orbital characteristics.

4. The optimization strategies for deep space exploration missions

4.1. The role of researches on Pluto's orbital mechanisms in deep space missions

The orbital resonance of Pluto, particularly the 2:3 orbital resonance with Neptune, has significant implications for deep space exploration missions. As a result of this orbital mechanism, Pluto and Neptune maintain long-term stability, avoiding the risk of direct collisions. At the same time, this orbital relationship has had a profound impact on Pluto's orbital characteristics, such as its high eccentricity and high inclination. Studies show that this resonance not only forms a stable relative orbit between Pluto and Neptune, but also plays a crucial role in shaping Pluto's orbit, especially in maintaining orbital stability.

In deep space mission applications, orbital resonance provides an effective method for spacecraft to adjust their orbits using gravity assist techniques and interact with planetary resonances to save fuel. For example, the MESSENGER and Cassini spacecraft successfully utilized gravity assist maneuvers (such as flybys of Venus and Jupiter) to optimize fuel usage, enabling multiple orbital corrections without relying on traditional fuel consumption. And these missions demonstrate that the resonance mechanism can significantly improve the efficiency of spacecraft orbital adjustments. For missions to Pluto, its unique orbital resonance with Neptune allows spacecraft to plan launch windows and flight paths that optimize orbital changes [10]. This approach reduces fuel consumption during the flight and allows for precise adjustments to the spacecraft's orbit, ensuring the successful completion of Pluto deep space missions with limited resources.

4.2. Fuel efficiency and trajectory planning for deep space missions to Pluto

Due to Pluto's steep inclination and high eccentricity, making orbital adjustments for deep space missions is challenging, particularly in terms of fuel efficiency. To achieve mission objectives while minimizing fuel consumption, spacecraft orbital design and correction methods must be optimized.

Pluto exploration missions first require efficient use of Earth's initial orbital velocity. Existing studies have shown that utilizing Earth's orbital velocity during launch can significantly boost the spacecraft's initial speed, reducing the fuel required at the start of the mission. By selecting a launch window positioned ahead of Pluto, the relative motion between Earth and Pluto can be leveraged, further reducing fuel consumption. Besides, the high orbital inclination of Pluto requires spacecraft to rely on Jupiter's gravity for orbital adjustments. By using a Hohmann transfer orbit, spacecraft can effectively correct their trajectory with the help of Jupiter's gravity. Research indicates that Jupiter's gravity assist not only significantly alters the spacecraft's orbit but also effectively reduces fuel consumption, particularly during orbital corrections. Through precise orbital calculations and gravity assist from Jupiter, spacecraft can finely adjust their trajectories, avoiding the high energy consumption typical of traditional methods. Apart from Jupiter's gravity, the application of orbital resonance is also crucial for Pluto exploration missions. The 2:3 orbital resonance with Neptune helps predict Pluto's position and select optimal launch windows, but fuel efficiency is mainly gained through gravity assists, like New Horizons' flyby of Jupiter. Understanding the constraints of this orbital resonance allows mission planners to design trajectories that leverage the predictable motion of Pluto. By precisely timing the launch to coincide with favorable alignment (dictated by the resonance), spacecraft can minimize the required velocity changes (Delta-v), thereby reducing the reliance on thrusters for mid-course corrections

5. Conclusion

This paper describes the distinctive physical and orbital properties of Pluto, examines prevailing formation theories of the Pluto-Charon system, investigates Pluto's influence on the structure and dynamics of the Kuiper Belt, and highlights how insights into Pluto's orbital behavior have been applied to optimize trajectories and gravity-assist maneuvers in deep space exploration. Besides, it examines viable strategies for future missions to Pluto and other Kuiper Belt objects. The results indicate that Pluto has a significant impact on the orbital evolution of Kuiper Belt objects; its orbital resonance mechanisms not only shape the trajectories of specific objects but provide exploitable dynamical conditions for deep space exploration. However, this study is limited by its inability to cover all research in the field, and by the heavy reliance on observations from the New Horizons mission, which lack long-term continuous monitoring. It is hoped that ongoing research into Pluto and Kuiper Belt objects will continue to answer key questions about the origin and evolution of the outer Solar System.

References

[1] Lyttleton, R. A. (1936). On the possible results of an encounter of Pluto with the Neptunian system. Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society, 97, 108.
[2] NASA. (2025). NASA National Space Science Data Center (NSSDC). NASA. https: //www.nasa.gov/nssdc/
[3] Weaver, H. A., Buie, M. W., Buratti, B. J., et al. (2016). The small satellites of Pluto as observed by New Horizons. Science, 351(6279), aae0030.
[4] Sicardy, B., Bellucci, A., Gendron, E., et al. (2006). Charon's size and an upper limit on its atmosphere from a stellar occultation. Nature, 439(7072), 52-54.
[5] McKinnon, W. B., Glein, C. R., Bertrand, T., & Rhoden, A. R. (2020). Formation, composition, and history of the Pluto system: a post-New-Horizons synthesis. arXiv preprint arXiv: 2011.14030.
[6] Canup, R. M. (2005). A giant impact origin of Pluto-Charon. science, 307(5709), 546-550.
[7] Canup, R. M. (2011). On a giant impact origin of Charon, Nix, and Hydra. The Astronomical Journal, 141(2), 35.
[8] Malhotra, R. (1995). The origin of Pluto's orbit: implications for the Solar System beyond Neptune. arXiv preprint astro-ph/9504036.
[9] Muñoz-Gutiérrez, M. A., Ramírez-Vargas, S., Peimbert, A., Pérez-Villegas, A., & Petrovich, C. (2026). The Effect of Massive Trans-Neptunian Objects in the Long-term Evolution and Leakage Rates of Neptune’s 3: 2 and 2: 1 Mean Motion Resonances. The Astronomical Journal, 171(3), 177.

[10] Alves-Carmo, A. J., Vaillant, T., & Correia, A. C. (2023). Dynamics of trans-Neptunian objects near the 3/1 mean-motion resonance with Neptune. Astronomy & Astrophysics, 677, A83.