

CRISPR-Based Strategies to Reverse Antibiotic Resistance in Bacteria

Minglou Zhong

*College of Science, Northeastern University, Boston, USA
iriszhongml@gmail.com*

Abstract. Antibiotic resistance is a pressing global health challenge, driven largely by the misuse of antibiotics and the rapid evolution of resistant bacterial strains. Traditional treatment options are becoming increasingly ineffective, prompting the need for innovative therapeutic strategies. Recent advances in gene editing technologies have enabled researchers to explore CRISPR-Cas systems as a targeted and programmable tool to combat multidrug-resistant bacteria. This review summarizes recent developments in CRISPR-based antimicrobial approaches, including the use of Cas9 or Cas13 to selectively disrupt resistance genes, phage delivery systems, and combination therapies with traditional antibiotics. We highlight key experimental findings demonstrating the potential of CRISPR antimicrobials to reverse resistance and reduce bacterial fitness. However, challenges remain in delivery efficiency, specificity, and resistance to CRISPR itself. This review concludes by evaluating the feasibility of CRISPR as a future clinical tool for precision antimicrobials and calls for further interdisciplinary research to optimize delivery methods and minimize off-target effects.

Keywords: CRISPR-Cas systems, antibiotic resistance, gene editing, phage therapy, antimicrobial strategy

1. Introduction

Antibiotic resistance has emerged as one of the most pressing threats to global health, driven by the widespread misuse and overuse of antibiotics across clinical, agricultural, and environmental sectors [1]. The rise of multidrug-resistant (MDR) bacteria severely limits treatment options and increases mortality, urging the development of novel antimicrobial strategies. In recent years, CRISPR-Cas systems—originally discovered as adaptive immune mechanisms in bacteria—have been repurposed as precise gene-editing tools to combat antibiotic resistance at the genetic level [2]. Compared to traditional small-molecule antibiotics, CRISPR-Cas9 can be programmed to selectively target resistance genes located on plasmids or chromosomes, thereby reducing off-target damage and minimizing disruption to the host microbiome [3]. However, despite promising advances, it remains unclear how CRISPR-based systems can be optimized for therapeutic use in clinical settings. This review seeks to address the question of how gene-editing approaches can effectively reverse antibiotic resistance without disrupting microbial balance. By systematically examining recent experimental findings, delivery mechanisms, and potential limitations, this paper aims to evaluate

the feasibility and translational potential of CRISPR-based antimicrobial strategies. The study provides an interdisciplinary perspective that integrates microbiology, bioengineering, and public health considerations.

2. CRISPR-based strategies to combat antibiotic resistance

2.1. Targeting chromosomal resistance gene

Chromosomally encoded antibiotic resistance genes, such as *mecA* in methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA) and *vanA/vanB* in vancomycin-resistant Enterococci (VRE), are embedded within the bacterial genome and pose significant therapeutic challenges due to their genomic stability and vertical transmission [4].

Unlike plasmid-borne resistance, which can be mitigated through plasmid curing or conjugation inhibition, chromosomal resistance requires direct genomic targeting strategies that do not disrupt essential host functions [5].

CRISPR-Cas9 has emerged as a promising approach to address this challenge. By designing guide RNAs (gRNAs) specific to resistance loci, researchers have been able to achieve precise double-stranded breaks (DSBs) that inactivate resistance genes. CRISPR-Cas9 has emerged as a promising approach to address this challenge. By designing guide RNAs (gRNAs) specific to resistance loci, researchers have been able to achieve precise double-stranded breaks (DSBs) that inactivate resistance genes. Yosef et al. successfully employed a phage-delivered CRISPR-Cas system to target the *mecA* gene in MRSA, restoring β -lactam susceptibility [6]. In parallel, Ronda et al. demonstrated that targeting *vanA* and *vanB* in *Enterococcus faecalis* led to a significant reduction in vancomycin resistance [7].

To mitigate cell lethality caused by DSBs in essential regions, CRISPR interference (CRISPRi) using catalytically inactive dCas9 has also been explored. This technique allows reversible transcriptional repression without inducing DNA cleavage, offering a safer alternative for essential gene targets. Collectively, these chromosomal-targeting strategies demonstrate the potential of CRISPR tools in re-sensitizing MDR bacteria to conventional antibiotics while minimizing off-target toxicity.

2.2. Plasmid-targeting approaches using CRISPR

Plasmid-borne resistance genes pose a particularly challenging threat in the clinical context due to their high transferability across bacterial species via horizontal gene transfer mechanisms. CRISPR-Cas systems have emerged as promising tools to specifically target and eliminate these plasmids, thereby suppressing the spread of antimicrobial resistance.

Unlike chromosomal genes, plasmids can be cured from bacterial populations without lethality, making them ideal CRISPR targets for reducing resistance. One commonly employed strategy involves designing guide RNAs that direct the Cas nuclease to cut essential replication or resistance-related sequences on the plasmid, triggering its degradation or loss from the host cell. For instance, Yosef et al. demonstrated that a plasmid-borne β -lactamase gene in *Escherichia coli* could be selectively eliminated, resulting in restored sensitivity to β -lactam antibiotics [6].

Recent advancements also include multiplexed CRISPR constructs that simultaneously target multiple resistance plasmids, increasing efficacy in heterogeneous bacterial populations. Moreover, self-targeting CRISPR plasmids—where CRISPR-Cas9 is encoded on the same plasmid it targets—

have been successfully used to selectively kill multidrug-resistant cells while sparing susceptible strains [8].

Despite these advances, delivery remains a primary hurdle, as the system must be introduced into diverse hosts while minimizing fitness costs and off-target plasmid disruption. However, with phage- or conjugation-based vectors, many studies have achieved over 90% plasmid curing efficiency *in vitro*, offering promising implications for therapeutic applications [9].

3. Delivery systems and optimization strategies for CRISPR-based antimicrobial therapy

Efficient delivery of CRISPR-Cas systems into target bacterial populations remains a central challenge for their clinical application. Unlike gene editing in eukaryotic systems, bacterial delivery must overcome barriers such as rigid cell walls, diverse surface structures, and varying levels of natural competence. Thus, the success of CRISPR-based antimicrobial strategies largely depends on the development of reliable, scalable, and host-compatible delivery vectors.

3.1. Phage-mediated delivery systems

Bacteriophages (phages), viruses that naturally infect bacteria, have emerged as one of the most promising vectors for CRISPR delivery. Engineered phages can package CRISPR-Cas constructs and inject them directly into bacterial hosts. This approach allows species-specific targeting and circumvents the immune recognition issues associated with foreign delivery systems.

For example, Citorik et al. designed M13-derived phagemids to deliver CRISPR-Cas9 that successfully eliminated antibiotic resistance genes in *Escherichia coli* while sparing non-target strains [8]. Similarly, Yosef et al. employed temperate phages to program endogenous CRISPR systems, achieving high efficiency in plasmid clearance [6]. These results demonstrate the potential of phage vectors to selectively eradicate resistant bacteria in mixed populations without disrupting the entire microbiome.

However, challenges such as narrow host range, rapid resistance development to phages, and the complexity of large-scale manufacturing remain to be addressed before phage-based CRISPR therapies can be deployed clinically.

3.2. Horizontal gene transfer-based vectors

Another delivery approach leverages natural horizontal gene transfer mechanisms—particularly conjugative plasmids and transformation. These methods enable CRISPR systems to be disseminated among bacterial communities without relying on viral vectors.

Rodrigues et al. demonstrated the use of a conjugative plasmid to spread a CRISPR-Cas9 system targeting *ermB*, a gene conferring macrolide resistance in *Enterococcus faecalis*, effectively restoring antibiotic susceptibility [10]. Since many resistance genes also spread via conjugation, utilizing the same pathway for therapeutic delivery introduces an elegant symmetry in microbial ecology and gene dynamics.

Despite their promise, these systems face limitations such as transfer efficiency, fitness burden on host bacteria, and regulatory complexities when applied to human-associated microbial environments.

3.3. Non-viral vectors and synthetic carriers

Beyond biological carriers, synthetic non-viral vectors such as nanoparticles, liposomes, and polymeric delivery vehicles have also been explored for bacterial CRISPR delivery. These systems are often designed to protect CRISPR cargo from enzymatic degradation and facilitate uptake via membrane permeabilization.

For instance, He et al. developed a cationic polymer-based nanoparticle that efficiently delivered CRISPR-Cas13a into *Klebsiella pneumoniae* to degrade resistance mRNA, achieving >90% gene knockdown without affecting bacterial viability [11]. Although still in early stages, synthetic delivery systems provide greater tunability and safety control for future therapeutic development.

4. Current challenge and limitation

While CRISPR-based tools offer promising solutions to combat antibiotic resistance, several limitations continue to hinder their widespread adoption and clinical translation. One of the foremost concerns is the off-target activity of CRISPR-Cas systems, which may result in unintended genomic alterations. Although high-fidelity Cas9 variants such as SpCas9-HF1 have been developed to mitigate these risks, achieving absolute precision across diverse bacterial genomes remains elusive [12]. Another major challenge lies in the efficient delivery of CRISPR constructs into target bacteria, especially in environments like biofilms or host-associated microbiota where access is limited. Physical barriers such as extracellular polymeric substances, efflux systems, and species-specific membrane structures often reduce delivery efficiency [9]. Furthermore, bacteria may evolve resistance against CRISPR systems themselves, for example, through mutations in protospacer adjacent motifs (PAM) or by acquiring anti-CRISPR (Acr) proteins via horizontal gene transfer [13]. Such countermeasures could significantly reduce the long-term effectiveness of CRISPR interventions. Beyond technical hurdles, regulatory and ethical considerations also pose significant challenges. The release of gene-editing agents into microbial communities raises ecological and biosafety concerns, including the potential disruption of beneficial microbial populations and the unintended spread of edited genes in the environment. Therefore, responsible deployment of CRISPR antimicrobials will require rigorous containment strategies and oversight [14]. These multifaceted limitations highlight the importance of continued research in optimizing specificity, delivery, and risk mitigation strategies to fully harness the potential of CRISPR in antimicrobial therapy.

5. Discussion

CRISPR–Cas technologies have demonstrated remarkable potential in reversing antibiotic resistance by directly targeting resistance genes in both chromosomal and plasmid contexts [15]. For example, CRISPR–Cas9 systems have been successfully applied to eliminate plasmid-encoded resistance genes such as *mcr-1* in *Escherichia coli* and *Klebsiella pneumoniae*, effectively restoring antibiotic susceptibility in vitro [15]. These findings validate the feasibility of gene-editing-based antimicrobial strategies as an alternative to traditional antibiotics.

Despite these advances, several technical and ethical challenges hinder clinical translation. First, the delivery of CRISPR components into bacterial cells remains a major bottleneck. Efficient and targeted delivery in vivo, especially within polymicrobial communities or biofilms, is difficult and limits therapeutic application [9]. Second, bacteria may evolve mechanisms to evade CRISPR-based interventions. These include anti-CRISPR proteins, mutations in protospacer adjacent motif (PAM)

sequences, or enhanced DNA repair systems that can compromise the durability of the approach [9]. Third, the broader ecological and bioethical implications of releasing gene-editing agents into microbial ecosystems are not yet fully understood. Unintended gene flow or disruption of microbial community dynamics remains a serious concern and demands stringent biosafety and regulatory oversight [15].

In summary, while CRISPR-mediated approaches offer a powerful and programmable strategy for combating multidrug resistance, the path to practical implementation requires multidisciplinary innovation. Future work should focus on improving delivery vectors, understanding bacterial adaptation pathways, and establishing robust regulatory frameworks. Only through this integrative effort can CRISPR-based antimicrobials move from bench to bedside.

6. Conclusion

Antibiotic resistance continues to pose a serious global health challenge, undermining decades of medical progress and narrowing treatment options for bacterial infections. As resistance mechanisms become more diverse and mobile, traditional antibiotic development struggles to keep pace. CRISPR-based gene editing presents a promising shift from symptomatic treatment toward precise genetic intervention. By targeting the genetic roots of resistance—whether on plasmids or chromosomes—CRISPR tools can effectively reduce the spread of resistance genes and restore antibiotic susceptibility in drug-resistant pathogens.

This review examined recent strategies that leverage CRISPR-Cas systems to reverse antibiotic resistance in bacteria. These include targeted chromosomal editing to disrupt resistance-conferring alleles, plasmid curing to eliminate mobile resistance elements, and delivery mechanisms such as phage vectors and conjugative plasmids to enhance CRISPR uptake in bacterial populations. Each method presents strengths and limitations, and recent studies demonstrate encouraging outcomes in both in vitro and in vivo models. Importantly, these technologies offer high specificity and programmable flexibility that surpass conventional antimicrobials, potentially reducing collateral damage to the microbiome and minimizing selective pressure for resistance.

However, several hurdles remain before clinical deployment. Key among them are delivery efficiency in complex microbial communities, avoidance of off-target effects, and bacterial defense mechanisms against foreign genetic elements. Continued refinement in delivery systems, combined with advances in bioengineering, may eventually enable real-world applications in both therapeutic and preventive contexts.

In conclusion, CRISPR-based strategies represent a powerful addition to the antimicrobial toolkit, capable of not only halting resistance but reversing it at its source. While significant technical and ethical considerations remain, ongoing research suggests that these tools could be integrated into precision microbiology workflows in the near future. The combination of CRISPR with existing antibiotics, diagnostics, and microbiome management strategies could form the foundation of a new era in infectious disease control.

References

- [1] Ventola, C.L. (2015) The antibiotic resistance crisis: part 1: causes and threats. *P t.*, 40(4): 277-83.
- [2] Barrangou, R., Doudna, J.A. (2016) Applications of CRISPR technologies in research and beyond. *Nat Biotechnol*, 34(9): 933-41.
- [3] Hao, M., He, Y., Zhang, H., Liao, X.P., Liu, Y.H., Sun, J., et al. (2020) CRISPR-Cas9-Mediated Carbapenemase Gene and Plasmid Curing in Carbapenem-Resistant Enterobacteriaceae. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*, 64(9).

- [4] Hsu, P.D., Scott, D.A., Weinstein, J.A., Ran, F.A., Konermann, S., Agarwala, V., et al. (2013) DNA targeting specificity of RNA-guided Cas9 nucleases. *Nat Biotechnol*, 31(9): 827-32.
- [5] Bikard, D., Jiang, W., Samai, P., Hochschild, A., Zhang, F., Marraffini, L.A. (2013) Programmable repression and activation of bacterial gene expression using an engineered CRISPR-Cas system. *Nucleic Acids Res*, 41(15): 7429-37.
- [6] Yosef, I., Manor, M., Kiro, R., Qimron, U. (2015) Temperate and lytic bacteriophages programmed to sensitize and kill antibiotic-resistant bacteria. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*, 112(23): 7267-72.
- [7] Ronda, C., Chen, S.P., Cabral, V., Yaung, S.J., Wang, H.H. (2019) Metagenomic engineering of the mammalian gut microbiome in situ. *Nat Methods*, 16(2): 167-70.
- [8] Citorik, R.J., Mimee, M., Lu, T.K. Sequence-specific antimicrobials using efficiently delivered RNA-guided nucleases. *Nat Biotechnol*. 2014; 32(11): 1141-5.
- [9] Mayorga-Ramos, A., Zúñiga-Miranda, J., Carrera-Pacheco, S.E., Barba-Ostria, C., Guamán, L.P. (2023) CRISPR-Cas-Based Antimicrobials: Design, Challenges, and Bacterial Mechanisms of Resistance. *ACS Infect Dis*, 9(7): 1283-302.
- [10] Rodrigues, M., McBride, S.W., Hullahalli, K., Palmer, K.L., Duerkop, B.A. (2019) Conjugative Delivery of CRISPR-Cas9 for the Selective Depletion of Antibiotic-Resistant Enterococci. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*. 63(11).
- [11] Sünderhauf, D., Klümper, U., Pursey, E., Westra, E.R., Gaze, W.H., van Houte, S. (2023) Removal of AMR plasmids using a mobile, broad host-range CRISPR-Cas9 delivery tool. *Microbiology (Reading)*, 169(5).
- [12] Kleinstiver, B.P., Pattanayak, V., Prew, M.S., Tsai, S.Q., Nguyen, N.T., Zheng, Z., et al. (2016) High-fidelity CRISPR-Cas9 nucleases with no detectable genome-wide off-target effects. *Nature*, 529(7587): 490-5.
- [13] Marino, N.D., Zhang, J.Y., Borges, A.L., Sousa, A.A., Leon, L.M., Rauch, B.J., et al. (2018) Discovery of widespread type I and type V CRISPR-Cas inhibitors. *Science*, 362(6411): 240-2.
- [14] Brophy, J.A., Voigt, C.A. (2014) Antisense transcription as a tool to tune gene expression. *Mol Syst Biol*, 12(1): 854.
- [15] Ahmed, M.M., Kayode, H.H., Okesanya, O.J., Ukoaka, B.M., Eshun, G., Mourid, M.R., et al. (2024) CRISPR-Cas Systems in the Fight Against Antimicrobial Resistance: Current Status, Potentials, and Future Directions. *Infect Drug Resist*, 17: 5229-45.