

The Importance and Application of PD Control in Modern Control System

Rui Li

*HD Ningbo School, Ningbo, China
lirui_offer2026@163.com*

Abstract. In people's daily life, the direct current (DC) motor is everywhere due to its simple structure and flexible control. DC motors are known for their high reliability. Their simple structure not only makes them more economical in design and manufacturing but also easier to maintain during daily use. This simplicity directly translates into a longer service life, providing users with lasting performance and reliable service. However, to achieve precise control of these electric devices, having just the motor itself is not enough. An effective control method is needed to ensure that the motor operates at the desired speed and position. At this point, proportional-derivative control (PD control) plays an important role. This paper, through a method of literature review, delves into the theoretical foundations of PD control, its pivotal significance in contemporary control systems, and its widespread applications. The analysis will encompass the mathematical principles of PD control, its benefits and constraints, and the practical implementation/ strategies. Furthermore, the paper will explore the synergistic integration of PD control with other advanced control methodologies to enhance overall system performance.

Keywords: PD Control, Direct Current, Control System, Dynamic System

1. Introduction

The precise control of dynamic systems is a cornerstone of modern engineering, critical to the smooth operation of processes ranging from the intricate mechanisms of manufacturing to the complex dynamics of aerospace vehicles. Proportional-Derivative (PD) control, a fundamental element of PID (Proportional-Integral-Derivative) control, has emerged as a widely adopted solution due to its simplicity and effectiveness in managing both linear and nonlinear systems.

At the heart of PD control lies a control law that elegantly combines the principles of proportional and derivative actions to achieve desired system behavior. The PD controller's output is a function of the error signal and its rate of change, a relationship that allows for the fine-tuning of system response characteristics. The mathematical precision of PD control is what enables engineers to predict and shape the transient and steady-state behavior of controlled systems with remarkable accuracy.

Employing a structured literature review, this study analyzes key research on PD control theory, design methodologies, and applications across engineering domains. The study provides a synthesized framework highlighting PD control's practical utility and offers insights to enhance the

efficiency and performance of controller design for complex dynamic systems, such as robotics and aerospace vehicles.

2. Literature review

Among various control methods, the Proportional–Derivative (PD) controller has been widely adopted in dynamic systems due to its simple structure and fast response. The core principle of a PD controller lies in weighting the proportional and derivative components of the error term to achieve quick system response while suppressing overshoot.

A PD controller is a type of feedback controller in which the output is proportional both to the magnitude of the error and to its rate of change. Compared with a Proportional (P) controller that only uses the proportional term, a PD controller provides superior control over a system's dynamic performance, particularly in enhancing stability and improving response speed. The proportional term adjusts the control signal based on the current error, offering strong corrective action, while the derivative term predicts the trend of the error and acts early to mitigate oscillations.

From a theoretical perspective, it has been shown that appropriately tuned linear PID, PD, or PI controllers can achieve global exponential stability for multi-input–multi-output (MIMO) non-affine nonlinear systems under structured uncertainty. This uncertainty is characterized by bounded partial derivatives and a uniformly positive definite control gain matrix. The proposed design rules are straightforward and reveal that excessively high gains are not required to guarantee stability [1].

In addition, PD control has been proven effective in stabilizing uncertain stochastic systems when the uncertainty bounds satisfy specific algebraic inequalities. However, its performance deteriorates when uncertainty exceeds a certain critical threshold, at which point the controller parameters must remain bounded to ensure stability [2].

The design process of PD controllers typically involves parameter tuning, discrete-time implementation, and optimization for disturbance rejection. Sensitivity to parameter variation can differ significantly depending on the application domain, making careful design and tuning essential for achieving robust performance in practical systems.

3. Case study

3.1. Industrial automation applications

PD control is a mainstay in industrial automation because it delivers fast corrective action with minimal computational burden, making it suitable for embedded PLC/drive implementations and large-scale process plants alike. In temperature regulation loops, the proportional term supplies immediate heating/cooling effort proportional to the deviation from setpoint, while the derivative term reacts to the rate of temperature change, damping overshoot that would otherwise arise from thermal lags and actuator dead time. In motor speed regulation, PD control is often deployed in inner velocity loops of servo drives: the proportional gain acts like an effective stiffness that resists speed error, and the derivative term adds damping that suppresses oscillation from load inertia and compliant couplings (e.g., gearboxes, belts). Practical implementations differentiate the measured signal (not the setpoint) and low-pass filter the derivative to attenuate sensor noise. Gain scheduling across operating regimes (e.g., low vs. high speed) and setpoint filtering further improve robustness.

The article illustrates PD control with online gravity compensation using gravity-biased motor position achieves global asymptotic stability for elastic-joint robots, outperforming constant compensation in transient response and gain flexibility [3]. Although the study concerns elastic-joint

robots rather than classical process units, its insight transfers to industrial mechanisms with compliance: compensating dominant deterministic loads (e.g., gravity or steady disturbances) allows the PD loop to focus on residual dynamics, yielding faster transients with smaller gains. In practice, many industrial systems benefit from PD combined with feedforward of known loads or disturbances, and when variability is high, hybrid augmentations (fuzzy rules or adaptive gain updating) that preserve PD's simplicity while broadening its effective operating envelope.

3.2. Robotics: joint positioning and trajectory tracking

In robot manipulators, PD control is a canonical solution for joint-space positioning and trajectory tracking because it maps cleanly onto the physics: proportional gain behaves like virtual joint stiffness, while derivative gain provides velocity damping that counters inertia and friction variability. With accurate encoders, the derivative term is often implemented using measured joint velocities or filtered position differences, giving strong phase lead without amplifying high-frequency noise. For rigid-joint robots, PD plus gravity compensation is a standard baseline; for robots with elastic transmissions or significant unmodeled friction, adding observers or disturbance estimates helps retain tight tracking without resorting to high gains that might excite flexibility.

The article demonstrates that a model-free PD output-feedback controller incorporating a linear observer provides a solution to the stabilization and trajectory tracking problem in industrial robotic systems [4]. This result is attractive in factory settings where exact models are costly to maintain across tool changes and payload variation. In deployment, engineers typically (i) tune gains on a representative trajectory (step/sine sweep), (ii) verify stability margins under worst-case payloads, and (iii) calibrate derivative filtering to balance responsiveness and noise. Safety constraints—torque/current limits, joint speed caps, and collision detection—are enforced around the PD loop to ensure compliance with industrial standards. While inverse-dynamics feedforward can further reduce tracking error, a well-tuned PD+observer architecture often provides a competitive performance/complexity trade-off, and it integrates cleanly with higher-level planners. When task dynamics vary widely (e.g., changing end-effectors), adaptive or fuzzy layers can schedule PD gains online, preserving stability while trimming overshoot and settling time.

3.3. Power and energy systems: excitation and load-frequency control

Power and energy systems use PD (and PID variants) to stabilize electromechanical dynamics that exhibit delays, nonlinearities, and tight reliability requirements. In generator excitation control, a PD-type voltage regulator increases damping of rotor angle oscillations: the proportional action reacts to terminal-voltage error, while the derivative (often realized as a lead network) anticipates rapid voltage swings caused by disturbances on the grid. For load-frequency control (LFC), PD improves primary frequency response by adding phase lead that counters turbine-governor lags, thereby reducing frequency nadir and speeding recovery after load steps. Implementation details matter: derivative action must be adequately filtered to avoid amplifying measurement noise from PMUs and transducers; rate limiters and saturation handling prevent actuator stress. Tuning via relay auto-tune or classical Ziegler–Nichols is usually inadequate in power systems; loop-shaping or optimization-based tuning (subject to robustness and inter-area oscillation constraints) is preferred.

The article illustrates the details of principle of PID controller, also include some applications in different industries [5]. In practice, many “PD” controllers in power applications are realized as lead compensators integrated into AVRs or power system stabilizers (PSS), functionally equivalent to providing derivative action relative to key states. Field experience shows that PD-enhanced

excitation can reduce settling times and improve damping ratios without destabilizing interconnected areas when gains are conservatively set and validated across operating points. Where operating conditions change significantly (renewables variability, topology switching), PD is frequently combined with adaptive scheduling or fuzzy supervisory logic to retune gains online, retaining the interpretability and low computational cost of PD while delivering resilience against model drift and stochastic disturbances.

4. Discussion

Although PD control is valued for its practicality, simplicity, and ease of implementation, it also presents inherent limitations that restrict its performance in certain applications. One of the most prominent drawbacks is its inability to eliminate steady-state error, particularly in systems subject to constant disturbances or offsets. Additionally, the derivative term is inherently sensitive to measurement noise, which can lead to control signal oscillations or instability if not properly filtered. These weaknesses limit the effectiveness of pure PD control in high-precision or noise-prone environments.

To address these shortcomings, researchers have developed a variety of enhanced PD control strategies. Nonlinear control schemes have been integrated to better handle complex system dynamics and improve robustness against parameter variations. Sliding mode control has been introduced to enhance disturbance rejection and maintain performance under model uncertainties, while gain scheduling techniques have enabled PD controllers to adapt their parameters in real time to match changing operating conditions. Such improvements significantly extend the applicability of PD control beyond its classical scope.

Looking forward, the evolution of PD control is likely to be driven by its integration with artificial intelligence and machine learning algorithms. By leveraging data-driven optimization, PD parameters can be tuned dynamically to improve adaptability in nonlinear and time-varying systems. This fusion of traditional control principles with intelligent algorithms holds the potential to create controllers that retain PD's simplicity while overcoming its historical limitations, paving the way for more resilient and autonomous control solutions.

5. Conclusion

This study has systematically reviewed the fundamental principles, typical application scenarios, and existing challenges of Proportional–Derivative (PD) control through a literature-based approach. By analyzing and comparing real-world case studies across diverse domains, it has highlighted PD control's significant role in improving system response speed, enhancing tracking accuracy, and offering a practical balance between simplicity and effectiveness. The examination of industrial automation, robotics, and power systems illustrates not only PD control's versatility but also its capacity to serve as a baseline strategy upon which more advanced control schemes can be built.

However, this research is limited by its reliance solely on existing literature, without experimental validation or simulation-based performance assessment. While the review provides theoretical and applied insights, it cannot fully confirm the practical effectiveness of PD control strategies in all operational environments. Future studies could address this gap by integrating simulation models, hardware-in-the-loop testing, or real-world experimental platforms to evaluate PD performance under varying disturbances, nonlinearities, and time-varying parameters.

Looking ahead, PD control is poised to play an even greater role in emerging fields such as intelligent manufacturing, autonomous systems, and renewable energy integration. The fusion of PD

principles with artificial intelligence and machine learning may enable adaptive, data-driven controllers that retain PD's simplicity while extending its robustness and flexibility. Such advancements have the potential to elevate PD control to a higher level of intelligent automation, making it a key component in next-generation control systems.

References

- [1] Zhao, C., & Guo, L. (2022). Towards a theoretical foundation of PID control for uncertain nonlinear systems. *Automatica*, 142, 110360.
- [2] Zhao, C., & Zhang, Y. (2023). Understanding the capability of PD control for uncertain stochastic systems. *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control*, 69(1), 495-502.
- [3] De Luca, A., Siciliano, B., & Zollo, L. (2005). PD control with on-line gravity compensation for robots with elastic joints: Theory and experiments. *Automatica*, 41(10), 1809–1819. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.automatica.2005.05.009>
- [4] Islam, S., & Liu, P. X. (2011). PD output feedback control design for industrial robotic manipulators. *IEEE/ASME Transactions on Mechatronics*, 16(1), 187–197. <https://doi.org/10.1109/TMECH.2009.2038374>
- [5] Chen, R. (2024). A Comprehensive Analysis of PID Control Applications in Automation Systems: Current Trends and Future Directions. *Highlights in Science, Engineering and Technology*, 97, 126-132. <https://doi.org/10.54097/6q4xxg69>