

Quantum-Classical Divide: Double-Slit Experiments and Quantum Computing

Xinyu Jia

*BDA School of The High School Affiliated to Renmin University of China, Beijing, China
jiacinjia@gmail.com*

Abstract. The double-slit experiment provides insights into quantum mechanics and sheds light on fundamental contradictions with classical physics, which, although predicting independent trajectories by simple random addition, reveals interference patterns even when only one particle is emitted at a time, providing direct evidence for effects of wave-particle duality and quantum superposition. Furthermore, we explore why thematic coherence and overlap in this study are essential elements of quantum computer design. Mechanisms such as phase interference (defined by the Deutsch algorithm) demonstrate the computational power provided by quantum effects. In other words, double-slit learning is not just a theoretical concept, but also a set of ideas and techniques that combine the theory of advanced quantum technology.

Keywords: double-slit experiment, quantum mechanics, superposition, quantum computing, interference

1. Introduction

1.1. Background

The study Until the mid-19th century, classical physics combined with Newtonian mechanics and Maxwell's electrodynamics provided a coherent framework for explaining large-scale phenomena. However, with the advancement of experimental techniques at the microscopic level, classical theory was no longer able to account for the photoelectric effect and the effect of phenomenal light such as black body radiation, and later extended to particles such as electrons and atoms, showing that small particles can not only be observed as discrete objects, but also produce interference patterns that exhibit wave behavior, supporting the principle of quantum superposition and challenging the classical concept of particles following specific trajectories.

1.2. Research strengths and challenges

The double-slit experiment highlights the fundamental difference between quantum systems and classical intuition. Therefore, exploring the theoretical basis of this experiment and its similarity to quantum information can not only deepen our understanding of quantum mechanics, but also

provide inspiration for new technological developments. In this article, we consider double-slit analysis as a physical and conceptual bridge from classical models to modern quantum computation.

2. Quantum logic in double-slit analysis

2.1. Cultural expectations

In classical mechanics, particles are assumed to follow well-defined laws described by Newton's laws. Applying this model to a two-slit experiment, it is assumed that each particle will pass through only one slit, and even if many particles are released one after the other, their cumulative distribution will have a shape resembling two overlapping peaks, and this assumes that no local interference consistent with the actual particles is independent and need not be observed.

2.2. Quantum observations

Quantum experiments refute this claim. In Young's double-slit experiment, photons or electrons passing through the double slit create interference fringes with distinct bright and dark edges. Surprisingly, when only a single particle is emitted, the same interference fringe also appears gradually - unobserved particles describe trajectories that can only be described in terms of probability waves.

2.3. Implications and interpretations

These results refute the classical path hypothesis, which states that interference only occurs when the path information is unknown. After the measurement, the interference fringes disappear, thus increasing the observation sensitivity of the system. This phenomenon highlights the importance of building quantum models based on concepts such as superposition and wave functions. The Schrodinger equation and the Heisenberg uncertainty principle are consistent with these results and support the quantum theory approach. As Feynman pointed out, the double-slit experiment embodies the essence of quantum mechanics. Its importance lies in the realization of quantum entanglement and decoupling, thus laying the foundation for the treatment of superposition interference states in quantum computing systems [1].

3. The key difference between the classical model and the quantum model

There is a fundamental theoretical disagreement between classical physics and quantum mechanics in describing the behavior of microscopic particles, which stems from the nature of probability superposition. In the classical model, if a particle has two possible paths (for example, through the left slit and the right slit), the total probability is simply the arithmetic sum: [2]

$$P_{classical} = P_1 + P_2 \quad (1)$$

where P_1 and P_2 represent the probability of each position. This assumes that the paths do not interfere and that the particle follows a definite trajectory. Thus, if the detection screen distributions for the individual slits are $P_1(x)$ and $P_2(x)$, then with both slits open the expected distribution is

$$P_{classical}(x) = P_1(x) + P_2(x) \quad (2)$$

This summation predicts a uniform cumulative distribution without any interference appearing. However, actual observations from electron double-slit experiments show distinct bright and dark interference fringes, a point that clearly demonstrates the limitations of the classical probabilistic model at the microscopic scale.

In contrast, quantum mechanics employs the complex probability amplitude of the wave function to describe the particle state, and its superposition follows the principle of coherence. Specifically, if the wave functions corresponding to the two paths of the particle through the double slit respectively are ψ_1 and ψ_2 , then the total wave function of the particle passing through the two slits is

$$\psi_{total} = \psi_1 + \psi_2 \quad (3)$$

The probability distribution measured at the time of detection is then the mode square of the total wave function, i.e.

$$P_{quantum} = |\psi_{total}|^2 = |\psi_1 + \psi_2|^2 = |\psi_1|^2 + |\psi_2|^2 + 2\text{Re}(\psi_1^* \psi_2) \quad (4)$$

The term $2\text{Re}(\psi_1^* \psi_2)$ is the interference term, which depends on the phase relationship between ψ_1 and ψ_2 [3]. When the phase difference $\Delta\phi$ equals $2\pi n$ (with n an integer), constructive interference produces bright fringes; conversely, when $\Delta\phi = (2n+1)\pi$, destructive interference produces dark fringes. When the path is observed or measured, the wave function collapses, causing the interference term to disappear and the system to behave more like a traditional, classical distribution. Mathematically, the discrepancy arises from the different dynamical equations: classical motion is governed by Newtonian or Hamiltonian mechanics, yielding deterministic trajectories, whereas quantum evolution obeys the Schrodinger equation:

$$i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \psi(r, t) = \left[-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \nabla^2 + V(r, t) \right] \psi(r, t) \quad (5)$$

Its solution, for example, $\psi(x, t) \propto e^{i(kx - \omega t)}$, carries phase information essential for interference.

Thus, while the classical model lacks phase and cannot produce interference from simple probability addition, quantum mechanics, through coherent superposition of complex amplitudes, successfully explains the interference observed in the double-slit experiment. This fundamental difference suggests that classical theory cannot fully explain processes at the microscopic level. At the same time, it provides a necessary basis for manipulating quantum states, which is essential for progress in quantum computing.

4. Superposition and interference: evolution of quantum states

The Schrodinger equation: a fundamental principle of quantum evolution

The dynamical evolution of the quantum state is governed by the Schrodinger equation, expressed in the form of a single relative dimension [4].

$$i\hbar \frac{\partial \psi(x, t)}{\partial t} = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \frac{\partial^2 \psi(x, t)}{\partial x^2} + V(x) \psi(x, t) \quad (6)$$

In the equation, $\hbar$ is Planck's constant, m is the mass, and $V(x)$ represents the potential of x [5]. This model provides a continuous view of the evolution of the wave function in space and time,

providing a detailed description of the behavior of the micro-particle [6]. Consider a bifurcation experiment: particles emerge from space along two separate paths. As they move, their wave functions change and are eventually carried by the search bar. Solving the Schrodinger equation for each path yields the individual wave functions, $\psi_1(x,t)$ and $\psi_2(x,t)$. The combined function is then generated by superimposing these two wave functions, which shows the interference pattern.

$$\psi_{total}(x,t) = \psi_1(x,t) + \psi_2(x,t) \quad (7)$$

Squaring the modulus, $P(x,t) = |\psi_{total}(x,t)|^2$, produces a probability distribution that exhibits alternating bright and dark fringes, directly attributable to constructive or destructive interference due to phase differences between ψ_1 and ψ_2 [7].

5. Quantum computing: a revolution driven by interference

This chapter examines the basics of quantum theory and its application to computer science. Quantum computing is revolutionizing information processing by exploiting the unique properties of quantum superposition and interference to perform calculations that are impossible with classical computers. Quantum computing manipulates information encoded in quantum bits. A quantum bit processes a bit in a specific binary state (0 or 1) and can exist in multiple states simultaneously. This allows algorithms to solve certain interference problems more efficiently than traditional classical methods.

A quantum bit is a two-level quantum system whose state can be expressed as:

$$|\psi\rangle = \alpha|0\rangle + \beta|1\rangle, \text{ with } |\alpha|^2 + |\beta|^2 = 1 \quad (8)$$

Here, $|0\rangle$ and $|1\rangle$ represent the basic computations, α and $\beta \in \mathbb{C}$ the complex amplitudes. The labels $|\alpha|^2$ and $|\beta|^2$ represent the average single value for each condition. In a quantum superposition state, a quantum bit remains in its ground state until it interacts with one of the quantum bits.

To synthesize states, quantum computers use quantum gates, which represent simple operations. The Hadamard gate is one of the basic pillars of quantum computers. This state expands a smaller state to a level similar to the historical state.

$$H = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$H|0\rangle = \frac{|0\rangle + |1\rangle}{\sqrt{2}}, H|1\rangle = \frac{|0\rangle - |1\rangle}{\sqrt{2}} \quad (9)$$

This step is important in many quantum algorithms because it puts the quantum bit in a state that allows all possible computational paths to be explored simultaneously. In multi-quantum-bits systems, tensor products are essential to represent the joint states of all components. For example, there are two q bits initialized to $|0\rangle$:

$$|\psi\rangle = H|0\rangle \otimes H|0\rangle = \frac{1}{2} (|00\rangle + |01\rangle + |10\rangle + |11\rangle) \quad (10)$$

The basic principle of quantum parallelism is to allow a quantum system to simultaneously represent all possible combinations of inputs [8].

6. Conclusion and outlook

This paper explored the concept of two-dimensional scaling in classical and quantum mechanics, focusing on how wave functions combine to form interference patterns. This paper focused on the basic mathematical principles and how these principles control the behavior of particles and fluids. Combining basic quantum principles with core concepts of quantum computing and testing concurrent theories such as Deutsch theorem, it was shown that direct comparisons of the two theories can yield results that exceed expectations. This paper also provided an intuitive demonstration of how quantum logic works and how computations can be performed more efficiently.

Several research directions have emerged. First, maintaining symmetry in quantum devices remains a challenge. Although the values are assumed to be discrete, the actual q values are related to their surroundings, introducing nonuniformity that can affect the interference properties. Research in this area on multi-domain protected quantum bit and error correction algorithms continues to advance.

Scalability is also an issue. While interference effects are often observed in relatively simple systems, scaling them up to systems with hundreds or thousands of interconnected quantum bit requires precise control of phase functions and gates. Advances in integrated quantum bit design and noise-free environments could pave the way for quantum computing.

Finally, future work could explore the application of noise in quantum communication technology, suggesting that the principles proposed in the 2D experiments have yet to find widespread application in many areas of quantum computing [9].

References

- [1] Reinhold A. Bertlmann, Theoretical Physics T2 Quantum Mechanics Course of Lectures. Vienna, Austria University of Vienna, 2008.
- [2] David Tong, "Quantum Mechanics," Department of Applied Mathematics and Theoretical Physics, Centre for Mathematics Science University of Cambridge, UK. Available: <http://www.damtp.cam.ac.uk/user/tong/quantum.html>
- [3] University of California, Los Angeles, "Quantum Mechanics Lecture Notes," UCLA Department of Physics and Astronomy, May 20, 2025.
- [4] Esmat Sadat Alaviyan Shahri, Naser Pariz, and Yangquan Chen, "Stabilization of a Class of Fractional-Order Nonlinear Systems Subject to Actuator Saturation and Time Delay," *Applied Science*, vol. 15, no. 4, pp. 1-15, 2023.
- [5] Özdemir, Şahin K., Stefan Rotter, Franco Nori, and Lan Yang. "Parity-Time Symmetry and Exceptional Points in Photonics." *Nature Materials* 18: 783–798. 2019.
- [6] Luca Salasnich and Francesco Lorenzi *Modern Physics: An Invitation to Statistical and Quantum Mechanics*. Cham, Switzerland: Springer, 2023.
- [7] Pratim Kumar Chattaraj, *Quantum Trajectories*. Boca Raton, FL, USA: CRC Press, 2016.
- [8] M. A. Nielsen and I. L. Chuang, *Quantum Computation and Quantum Information*, 10th Anniversary Edition. Cambridge, U.K.: Cambridge University Press, 2010.
- [9] H. J. Kimble, "The quantum internet," *Nature*, vol. 453, no. 7198, pp. 1023–1030, Jun. 2008. [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature07127>