Proceedings of the 3rd International Conference on Environmental Geoscience and Earth Ecology
DOI: 10.54254/2753-8818/2025.23146

Chemical Pollutants Driving Marine Ecosystem Degradation: Cumulative Effects of Heavy Metals, Plastics, and Eutrophication

Hanyue Yu

Marine Institute, Hohai University, Changzhou, China
1843674472@qq.com

Abstract: The health of marine ecosystems faces unprecedented challenges from anthropogenic chemical pollutants. This review synthesizes current research on how three major pollutant categories—heavy metals, plastics, and eutrophication—impact marine environments, with particular emphasis on their cumulative effects. These pollutants operate through distinct yet interconnected pathways, affecting species diversity, habitat integrity, and ecological functions. Heavy metals disrupt the physiological functions of marine organisms and biomagnify through trophic levels, while plastics not only cause physical damage, but also the microplastics produced by its degradation can adsorb other pollutants and damage the digestive functions of organisms. Eutrophication depletes oxygen, creating dead zones that collapse local ecosystems. The combined impact of these stressors often exceeds the sum of their individual effects. Over time, their cumulative effects may trigger irreversible ecological threshold crossings, creating complex challenges for ecosystem resilience and recovery. Understanding these cumulative impacts is essential for developing effective mitigation strategies and conservation policies that address multiple pollution sources simultaneously rather than in isolation.

Keywords: Marine pollution, Heavy metals, Plastic pollution, Eutrophication, Ecosystem degradation

1. Introduction

Marine ecosystems, covering more than 70% of Earth's surface, face mounting pressure from chemical pollutants that threaten their long-term health and stability [1]. Among the most concerning contaminants are heavy metals, plastics, and nutrients causing eutrophication, which collectively impact marine environments across multiple biological scales and ecological processes. These pollutants originate primarily from human activities—industrial discharges, agricultural runoff, wastewater, and improper waste disposal—and their concentrations in marine environments have increased dramatically in recent decades [2]. Heavy metals such as mercury, lead, and cadmium persist indefinitely in marine environments, bioaccumulating in organisms and biomagnifying through food webs to reach toxic concentrations [3]. Plastics, particularly microplastics (particles <5mm), have become ubiquitous in marine ecosystems, causing physical harm through ingestion and entanglement while also serving as vectors for other pollutants [4]. Eutrophication, resulting from excessive nutrient inputs, stimulates algal blooms that deplete oxygen upon decomposition, creating

© 2025 The Authors. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License 4.0 (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

DOI: 10.54254/2753-8818/2025.23146

hypoxic "dead zones" that severely impact benthic communities and fisheries [5]. While these pollutants have traditionally been studied individually, growing evidence suggests their interactions produce ecological consequences that exceed the sum of their individual impacts. This phenomenon, known as the "cumulative effects" or "cocktail effect," represents a critical gap in our understanding of marine pollution dynamics [6]. Understanding how different chemical pollutants interact to affect marine ecosystems is crucial for several reasons. First, it provides more accurate predictions of ecological outcomes than studies of isolated pollutants, reflecting real-world conditions where multiple stressors occur simultaneously. Second, it informs more effective pollution control strategies by identifying synergistic interactions where addressing one pollutant may disproportionately reduce overall ecosystem impacts. Third, it improves our ability to prioritize conservation efforts by identifying particularly vulnerable regions or ecosystems where multiple pollutants converge [7]. This research also supports international marine conservation targets, including the United Nations Sustainable Development Goal 14 ("Life Below Water") and various regional agreements on marine protection. By elucidating the mechanisms through which pollutants interact, this work provides the scientific foundation for evidence-based policy decisions and management actions.

This paper is structured to provide a comprehensive analysis of how chemical pollutants drive marine ecosystem degradation. Following this introduction, Section 2 explores the theoretical frameworks explaining how heavy metals, plastics, and eutrophication affect marine ecosystems through various pathways. Section 3 examines specific problems arising from these pollutants, including their synergistic effects and the challenges they pose for ecosystem recovery. Section 4 evaluates potential solutions and mitigation strategies, with an emphasis on integrated approaches that address multiple pollution sources simultaneously. The paper concludes with a summary of key findings and recommendations for future research and environmental management.

2. Theoretical research

2.1. Pollution impact mechanisms

The impacts of chemical pollutants on marine ecosystems operate through multiple mechanisms spanning molecular, organismal, population, and ecosystem levels. At the molecular level, pollutants can interfere with biochemical processes, damage DNA, and disrupt endocrine functions. At the organismal level, these molecular effects manifest as reduced growth, impaired reproduction, behavioral changes, and increased mortality. These individual-level impacts subsequently alter population dynamics, community structure, and ecosystem functions [8].

The cumulative effects of multiple pollutants can be additive (total effect equals the sum of individual effects), synergistic (total effect exceeds the sum of individual effects), or antagonistic (total effect is less than the sum of individual effects). Evidence suggests that synergistic interactions predominate in marine ecosystems, particularly when stressors affect different physiological processes or when one stressor reduces an organism's tolerance to another.

2.2. Ecological effects of heavy metal pollution

Heavy metals enter marine environments through mining activities, industrial discharge, agricultural runoff, and atmospheric deposition. Unlike organic pollutants, they cannot be degraded and thus persist indefinitely, becoming incorporated into sediments and biological tissues [9].

The primary mechanism of heavy metal toxicity involves binding to proteins and enzymes, disrupting their structure and function. Mercury (Hg), particularly in its methylated form, binds to sulfhydryl groups in proteins, affecting the nervous system and reproduction. Lead (Pb) substitutes for calcium in bones and interferes with neurotransmission, while cadmium (Cd) displaces zinc in metalloenzymes and affects kidney function.

DOI: 10.54254/2753-8818/2025.23146

Biomagnification—the increasing concentration of contaminants up the food chain—represents a particularly concerning aspect of heavy metal pollution. Top predators such as tuna, sharks, and marine mammals can accumulate mercury concentrations a million times higher than in the surrounding water, leading to neurotoxic effects that impact behavior, reproduction, and survival [10].

2.3. Plastic pollution and ecosystem function

Plastic pollution affects marine ecosystems through both physical and chemical pathways. Physically, larger plastic items cause entanglement of marine animals, while smaller fragments are ingested, causing internal injuries, false satiation, and starvation. Plastics also create novel habitats (termed "plastisphere") that support distinct microbial communities and can transport invasive species across ocean basins [11].

Chemically, plastics contain additives such as plasticizers, flame retardants, and stabilizers that can leach into marine environments. Additionally, their hydrophobic surfaces adsorb other pollutants from seawater, including persistent organic pollutants (POPs) and heavy metals, potentially increasing their bioavailability to organisms that ingest plastic particles [12].

Microplastics (particles <5mm) represent a particular concern due to their ubiquity and capacity to enter food webs at the lowest trophic levels. Recent research has documented microplastic presence in marine organisms from zooplankton to whales, with evidence of trophic transfer and potential biomagnification [13]. Laboratory studies have demonstrated that microplastics can reduce feeding efficiency, alter gut microbiota, and induce inflammatory responses in marine organisms [14].

2.4. Eutrophication and ecological degradation

Eutrophication occurs when excessive nutrients, primarily nitrogen and phosphorus from agricultural fertilizers and wastewater, enter marine environments, stimulating excessive algal growth. When these algal blooms die and decompose, bacterial respiration depletes dissolved oxygen, creating hypoxic conditions that can lead to mortality of benthic organisms and fish [15].

Beyond oxygen depletion, eutrophication alters ecosystem structure and function in several ways. First, it shifts the competitive balance among phytoplankton species, often favoring harmful algal bloom (HAB) species that produce toxins affecting both marine life and human health. Second, it reduces water clarity, limiting light penetration and negatively impacting seagrass meadows and coral reefs. Third, it alters nitrogen: phosphorus ratios, potentially changing the composition of primary producer communities and affecting food web dynamics.

The spatial extent of eutrophication-induced "dead zones" has increased exponentially since the 1960s, with over 400 hypoxic areas identified globally, covering more than 245,000 square kilometers. These areas significantly impact fisheries productivity, biodiversity, and ecosystem services [15].

3. Problems in the research subject

3.1. Long-term impacts of pollutants

The persistence of chemical pollutants in marine environments creates long-term, often irreversible changes to ecosystem structure and function. Heavy metals can remain in marine sediments for decades to centuries, creating a continuing source of contamination even after input sources are controlled. Similarly, plastic debris degrades extremely slowly, with estimated degradation times ranging from decades for some biodegradable plastics to centuries for conventional polymers like polyethylene and polypropylene [13].

These persistent pollutants create "legacy effects" that complicate restoration efforts and may lead to alternative stable states—ecological configurations that resist returning to pre-disturbance

DOI: 10.54254/2753-8818/2025.23146

conditions. For example, coastal ecosystems exposed to multiple stressors may shift from coral-dominated to algal-dominated states, with significant losses in biodiversity and ecosystem services [7].

Long-term exposure to sublethal pollutant concentrations can also drive evolutionary adaptations in marine organisms, potentially altering ecological interactions and ecosystem functions in unpredictable ways. While adaptation may allow some species to persist in polluted environments, it often comes with fitness costs and reduced capacity to cope with additional stressors [6].

3.2. Synergistic effects of multiple pollution sources

The co-occurrence of heavy metals, plastics, and eutrophication in many coastal zones creates complex interactions that can amplify their individual impacts. For example, laboratory studies have demonstrated that the toxicity of heavy metals to marine invertebrates increases under hypoxic conditions resulting from eutrophication, as oxygen stress compromises detoxification mechanisms [3].

Similarly, microplastics can serve as vectors for heavy metals and other contaminants, potentially increasing their bioavailability and toxicity. The adsorption of metals onto plastic surfaces is influenced by factors including polymer type, weathering state, and water chemistry, creating variable but potentially significant exposure pathways [12].

Eutrophication can exacerbate heavy metal toxicity by promoting conditions that favor the formation of methylmercury, the most toxic and bioavailable form of mercury. The production of methylmercury by anaerobic bacteria increases in the oxygen-depleted conditions characteristic of eutrophic systems, potentially accelerating its entry into marine food webs [10].

These synergistic interactions create complex, non-linear responses in marine ecosystems that are difficult to predict based on single-stressor studies, highlighting the need for multifactorial experimental designs and field studies that capture real-world pollution scenarios.

3.3. Difficulties in ecosystem recovery

The cumulative effects of multiple pollutants create significant challenges for ecosystem recovery and restoration. First, different pollutants operate on different temporal scales, with eutrophication effects potentially reversible within years while heavy metal contamination may persist for centuries. This temporal mismatch complicates the design and evaluation of restoration efforts [8].

Second, pollutants can damage key ecosystem components that would normally facilitate recovery. For example, plastic debris and heavy metals can impair the health of habitat-forming species like corals and seagrasses, compromising their capacity to recolonize degraded areas. Similarly, eutrophication-induced hypoxia can eliminate bioturbating organisms that maintain sediment quality, creating a positive feedback loop that sustains degraded conditions [5].

Third, the spatial extent of marine pollution often exceeds the scale of restoration efforts, creating a constant influx of contaminants into restored areas. This is particularly problematic for microplastics and atmospheric deposition of heavy metals, which can travel thousands of kilometers from their sources [11].

These challenges are compounded by climate change, which introduces additional stressors (warming, acidification, deoxygenation) that interact with chemical pollutants, potentially creating novel ecological conditions with no historical analogs to guide restoration targets [6].

4. Problem-solving methods:multi-dimensional pollution reduction strategies

Addressing the cumulative effects of chemical pollutants requires integrated strategies that target multiple pollution sources and pathways simultaneously. These strategies must span the full pollution

DOI: 10.54254/2753-8818/2025.23146

lifecycle, from source reduction to remediation of contaminated environments, and involve coordination across sectors and jurisdictions.

Source reduction represents the most effective approach to mitigating marine pollution. For heavy metals, this involves implementing cleaner production technologies in industrial processes, improving waste management practices, and enforcing more stringent discharge regulations. Recent case studies from the Baltic Sea demonstrate that coordinated regional actions can significantly reduce heavy metal inputs, with consequent improvements in sediment and biota contamination levels [9].

For plastic pollution, promising interventions include bans on single-use plastics, implementation of deposit-return schemes, improvements in waste collection and recycling infrastructure, and development of biodegradable alternatives for specific applications. The successful implementation of plastic bag taxes and bans in various countries has demonstrated significant reductions in plastic litter on beaches and in coastal waters [4].

Eutrophication can be addressed through improved agricultural practices, including precision fertilizer application, creation of riparian buffers, and implementation of constructed wetlands to intercept nutrient runoff. Advanced wastewater treatment technologies, particularly tertiary treatment processes that remove nitrogen and phosphorus, have proven effective in reducing nutrient loads to coastal waters. The dramatic improvement in water quality in the Black Sea following reduced fertilizer use in the Danube River Basin demonstrates the potential for recovery from eutrophication when nutrient inputs are controlled [15].

Beyond source reduction, remediation approaches offer promise for addressing existing contamination. Phytoremediation using marine macroalgae has shown potential for removing heavy metals from contaminated waters, while bioremediation using specialized microbial communities can accelerate the degradation of certain organic pollutants [9]. Innovative technologies for retrieving plastics from marine environments, including floating barriers in rivers and autonomous collection devices in coastal waters, are being developed and tested, though their efficacy at large scales remains unproven.

The most successful pollution reduction strategies integrate multiple approaches and involve diverse stakeholders, including government agencies, industries, scientific institutions, and civil society organizations. The European Union's Marine Strategy Framework Directive exemplifies this integrated approach, establishing targets and monitoring programs for multiple pollution indicators within a comprehensive ecosystem-based management framework [8].

5. Conclusion

This review has demonstrated how chemical pollutants—heavy metals, plastics, and eutrophication—drive marine ecosystem degradation through diverse yet interconnected mechanisms. These pollutants operate across biological scales, from molecular processes to ecosystem functions, with impacts that can persist for decades to centuries. Their cumulative effects often exceed the sum of their individual impacts, creating complex challenges for both scientific understanding and environmental management.

The evidence presented underscores several critical insights. First, the spatial and temporal overlap of multiple pollutants creates synergistic interactions that can accelerate ecosystem degradation in ways not predictable from single-stressor studies. Second, these interactions often target different aspects of organism physiology and ecosystem function, compromising resilience to additional stressors including climate change. Third, the long persistence times of many pollutants, particularly heavy metals and plastics, create legacy effects that complicate restoration efforts and may lead to alternative stable states resistant to recovery.

DOI: 10.54254/2753-8818/2025.23146

Addressing these challenges requires integrated approaches that target multiple pollution sources simultaneously and span the full pollution lifecycle from production to disposal. Successful examples from regions like the Baltic Sea and Black Sea demonstrate that coordinated, science-based actions can reduce pollution loads and allow ecosystems to recover, though full restoration may take decades.

Future research should prioritize understanding the mechanisms underlying pollutant interactions, developing standardized methods for assessing cumulative effects, and identifying ecological thresholds beyond which recovery becomes difficult or impossible. Policy approaches should adopt the precautionary principle, implementing preventive measures before conclusive evidence of harm exists, and should recognize the transboundary nature of marine pollution, requiring international cooperation for effective management.

By advancing our understanding of how chemical pollutants collectively drive marine ecosystem degradation, we can develop more effective conservation strategies that address the complex, interconnected challenges facing our oceans in the Anthropocene era.

References

[1] *Halpern BS, Frazier M, Potapenko J, et al. Spatial and temporal changes in cumulative human impacts on the world's ocean. Nat Commun. 2015;6:7615.*

[2] *Tornero V, Hanke G. Chemical contaminants entering the marine environment from sea-based sources: A review with a focus on European seas. Mar Pollut Bull. 2016;112(1-2):17-38.*

[3] *Maulvault AL, Custódio A, Anacleto P, et al. Bioaccumulation and elimination of mercury in juvenile seabass (Dicentrarchus labrax) in a warmer environment. Environ Res. 2015;141:247-256.*

[4] *Rochman CM, Browne MA, Underwood AJ, et al. The ecological impacts of marine debris: unraveling the demonstrated evidence from what is perceived. Ecology. 2016;97(2):302-312.*

[5] *Diaz RJ, Rosenberg R. Spreading dead zones and consequences for marine ecosystems. Science. 2008;321(5891):926-929.*

[6] *Crain CM, Kroeker K, Halpern BS. Interactive and cumulative effects of multiple human stressors in marine systems. Ecol Lett. 2008;11(12):1304-1315.*

[7] *Côté IM, Darling ES, Brown CJ. Interactions among ecosystem stressors and their importance in conservation. Proc Biol Sci. 2016;283(1824):20152592.*

[8] *Johnston EL, Mayer-Pinto M, Crowe TP. Chemical contaminant effects on marine ecosystem functioning. J Appl Ecol. 2015;52(1):140-149.*

[9] *Tchounwou PB, Yedjou CG, Patlolla AK, Sutton DJ. Heavy metal toxicity and the environment. EXS. 2012;101:133-164.*

[10] *Eagles-Smith CA, Silbergeld EK, Basu N, et al. Modulators of mercury risk to wildlife and humans in the context of rapid global change. Ambio. 2018;47(2):170-197.*

[11] *Galloway TS, Cole M, Lewis C. Interactions of microplastic debris throughout the marine ecosystem. Nat Ecol Evol. 2017;1(5):116.*

[12] *Rochman CM, Kurobe T, Flores I, Teh SJ. Early warning signs of endocrine disruption in adult fish from the ingestion of polyethylene with and without sorbed chemical pollutants from the marine environment. Sci Total Environ. 2014;493:656-661.*

[13] *Wright SL, Thompson RC, Galloway TS. The physical impacts of microplastics on marine organisms: a review. Environ Pollut. 2013;178:483-492.*

[14] *Cole M, Lindeque P, Fileman E, et al. The impact of polystyrene microplastics on feeding, function and fecundity in the marine copepod Calanus helgolandicus. Environ Sci Technol. 2015;49(2):1130-1137.*

[15] *Rabalais NN, Cai WJ, Carstensen J, et al. Eutrophication-driven deoxygenation in the coastal ocean. Oceanography. 2014;27(1):172-183.*